TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.14, N.2, 2024, pp. 683-695

BINARY ČECH SOFT CLOSURE SPACES

R. N. MAJEED¹, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper the notion of binary \check{C} ech soft closure space which is defined over two initial universe sets with fixed sets of parameters is introduced and studied. This space extends and generalizes \check{C} ech soft closure space. The main and basic notions for this space such as closed (open) binary soft sets, binary soft interior, and dense binary soft sets are defined and studied. Relationships between binary \check{C} ech soft closure space and \check{C} ech soft closure space are deduced. Examples and counterexamples are presented to illustrate some of our results. Finally, some operations on binary \check{C} ech soft closure operators are defined.

Keywords: Binary soft sets, binary soft topology, soft closure space, binary soft closure space, binary \check{C} ech soft closure space.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 97E60.

1. INTRODUCTION

Čech closure space (K, C) introduced first by Čech [4]. In this space, the mapping $C: P(K) \longrightarrow P(K)$ is called Čech closure operator on K and is satisfying the conditions $C(\emptyset) = \emptyset, F \subseteq C(F)$, and $C(F \cup G) = C(F) \cup C(G)$. In general, Čech closure spaces have a more general structure than topological spaces. Inspiring by Čech initial results, other researchers are studied further, improved, generalized, and extended Čech closure spaces (see, e.g., [3, 11, 21, 22], among others).

In 1999, Molodstov [19] introduced the concept of soft set theory to solve some complicated problems in mathematics and some other fields. In particular, closure spaces are introduced and studied in a soft set setting. For instance, \check{C} ech soft closure spaces were introduced and discussed by Gowri and Jegadeesan [8] and Krishnaveni and Sekar [12]. Majeed [14] established \check{C} ech fuzzy soft closure spaces. The later space is investigated further in [13, 15, 16]. The concept of soft closure spaces and their essential features are discussed in detail in [6, 7, 17].

The concept of binary structure between two universal sets K_1 and K_2 was first defined and studied by Jothi and Thangavelu [20], because in real-world situations there may

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Baghdad, Ibn Al-Haitham, Iraq.

e-mail: rasha.n.m@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6187-2844. § Manuscript received: May 08, 2022; accepted: August 25, 2022.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.14, No.2 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2024; all rights reserved.

be two or more universal sets. A binary structure from K_1 to K_2 is mathematically described as a collection of ordered pairs (F, G), with $F \subseteq K_1$ and $G \subseteq K_2$. Jothi and Thangavelu [20] developed the idea of binary topology which is a single structure denoted by (K_1, K_2, M) where $M \subseteq P(K_1) \times P(K_2)$ and satisfying the three axioms of ordinary topology. The notion of binary \tilde{C} ech closure spaces was proposed by Chacko and Susha [5]. Ackgoz and Tas [1] studied the properties of a binary soft set created from two initial universal sets and a parameter set. Benchalli et al. [2] introduced the notion binary soft topological spaces which are defined over two initial universe sets with a fixed set of parameters. Also, Hussain [9, 10] proposed binary soft topological spaces, which are extensions of soft topological space, and investigated binary soft connectedness in binary soft topological spaces. The structure of binary soft sets is employed, in this paper, to propose the notion of binary \check{C} ech soft closure spaces, which is an extension of the binary Cech closure spaces established in [5]. The prerequisites are listed in Section 2. We present the notions of binary soft closure operator, binary Cech soft closure operator, and induced binary soft closure operators in Section 3 and we show how they are related. The connections between soft closure spaces and binary Cech soft closure spaces are discussed in Section 4. The operations union, composition, and intersection of binary Cech soft closure spaces are covered in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall several definitions that will be used in the next sections.

Definition 2.1. [19] A soft set (\mathcal{F}, A) over an initial universe set K and a set of parameters Q is a mapping $\mathcal{F} : A \longrightarrow P(K)$ where A is a nonempty subset of Q and P(K) denotes the power set of K.

Definition 2.2. [18] Let (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) be two soft sets over an initial universe set K and a set of parameters Q. Then, (\mathcal{F}, A) is soft subset of (\mathcal{G}, B) , denoted by $(\mathcal{F}, A) \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{G}, B)$, if (1) $A \subseteq B$, and (2) $\mathcal{F}(\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\omega)$, for all $\omega \in A$.

Definition 2.3. [18] The union of two soft sets (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) over the common universe K is the soft set $(\mathcal{H}, C) = (\mathcal{F}, A) \sqcup (\mathcal{G}, B)$, where $C = A \cup B$ and for all $\omega \in C$,

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in A - B, \\ \mathcal{G}(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in B - A, \\ \mathcal{F}(\omega) \cup \mathcal{G}(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in A \cap B. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4. [18] The intersection of two soft sets (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) over the common universe K is the soft set $(\mathcal{H}, C) = (\mathcal{F}, A) \sqcap (\mathcal{G}, B)$, where $C = A \cap B$ and for all $\omega \in C$, $\mathcal{H}(\omega) = \mathcal{F}(\omega) \cap \mathcal{G}(\omega)$.

Definition 2.5. [6] An operator $u : SS(K,Q) \longrightarrow SS(K,Q)$ is called a soft closure operator on K, if for all $\mathcal{F}_Q, \mathcal{G}_Q \in SS(K,Q)$ the following axioms are satisfied: (C1) $\widetilde{\Phi}_Q = u(\widetilde{\Phi}_Q)$, (C2) $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq u(\mathcal{F}_Q)$, (C3) $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq G_Q \Longrightarrow u(\mathcal{F}_Q) \sqsubseteq u(G_Q)$.

(C3) $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq G_Q \Longrightarrow u(\mathcal{F}_Q) \sqsubseteq u(G_Q)$. The triple (K, u, Q) is called a soft closure space. If in addition (C4) $u(\mathcal{F}_Q \sqcup G_Q) = u(\mathcal{F}_Q) \sqcup (u(G_Q))$. The space (K, u, Q) is called a Čech soft closure space [12].

Consider K_1 and K_2 be two initial universal sets, Q be a collection of parameters, and $P(K_1)$ and $P(K_2)$ be the K_1 and K_2 power sets respectively. Also, let $A, B, C \subseteq Q$.

Definition 2.6. [1] $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ is said to be a binary soft set ($\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -set, for short) over K_1, K_2 where $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}: A \longrightarrow P(K_1) \times P(K_2), \ \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (N, M)$, for each $\omega \in A$ such that $N \subseteq K_1, M \subseteq K_2$.

Definition 2.7. [1] Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{A}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}_{B}$ are two \mathfrak{BS} -sets over the universes K_1, K_2 . $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{A}$ is called a \mathfrak{BS} -subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{B}$, if $A \subseteq B$ and $N_1 \subseteq N_2, M_1 \subseteq M_2$ such that $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (N_1, M_1),$ $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(\omega) = (N_2, M_2)$ for each $\omega \in A$ such that $N_1, N_2 \subseteq K_1, M_1, M_2 \subseteq K_2$.

We denote it by $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{A} \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}_{B}^{\tilde{c}}$. $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{A}$ is called a $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -superset of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{B}$, if $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{B}^{\tilde{c}}$ is a binary soft subset of $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{A}$. We write $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_{A} \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{B}^{\tilde{c}}$.

Definition 2.8. [1] Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B}$ are two \mathfrak{BS} -sets over the universes K_1, K_2 . $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A}$ is called binary soft equal to $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B}$, if $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A} \cong \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A} \cong \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B}$. We denote it by $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A} = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B}$.

Definition 2.9. [1] A \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_A$ over K_1, K_2 is characterized as binary null soft set denoted by $\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$, if $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$, for all $\omega \in A$.

Definition 2.10. [1] $A \mathfrak{BS}$ -set $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A}$ over K_1, K_2 binary absolute soft set denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (K_1, K_2)$, for all $\omega \in A$.

Definition 2.11. [1] $A \mathfrak{BS}$ -set $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_C}$ is the union of two \mathfrak{BS} -sets $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B$ over the universes K_1, K_2 where $C = A \cup B$, and for each $\omega \in C$ such that $N_1, N_2 \subseteq K_1, M_1, M_2 \subseteq K_2$,

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(\omega) = \begin{cases} (N_1, M_1) & \text{if } \omega \in A - B\\ (N_2, M_2) & \text{if } \omega \in B - A\\ (N_1 \cup N_2, M_1 \cup M_2) & \text{if } \omega \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

such that $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (N_1, M_1)$ for each $\omega \in A$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(\omega) = (N_2, M_2)$ for each $\omega \in B$. We denote it by $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A} \widetilde{\Box} \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}_C}$.

Definition 2.12. [1] The \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_C}$ is the intersection of two \mathfrak{BS} -sets $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B}$ over the universes K_1, K_2 , where $C = A \cap B$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}(\omega) = (N_1 \cap N_2, M_1 \cap M_2)$, for each $\omega \in C$ such that $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (N_1, M_1)$ for each $\omega \in A$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(\omega) = (N_2, M_2)$ for each $\omega \in B$, such that $N_1, N_2 \subseteq K_1, M_1, M_2 \subseteq K_2$. We denote it $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A} \widetilde{\widetilde{\Pi}} \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_B} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}_C}$.

Definition 2.13. [9] The \mathfrak{BS} -set $\mathcal{H}_Q^{\tilde{i}}$ is the difference of two \mathfrak{BS} -sets $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q^{\tilde{i}}$ over the universes K_1, K_2 , denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q^{\tilde{i}} \langle \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q^{\tilde{i}}$ and is defined as $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\omega) = (N_1 - N_2, M_1 - M_2)$ for each $\omega \in Q$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\omega) = (N_1, M_1)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\omega) = (N_2, M_2)$.

Definition 2.14. [9] The binary soft relative complement of a \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_Q$ is denoted by $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_Q' = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_Q'$ where $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}': Q \longrightarrow P(K_1) \times P(K_2)$ is a mapping given by $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}'(\omega) = (K_1 - N, K_2 - M)$ where $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (N, M)$, for all $\omega \in Q$ such that $N \subseteq K_1, M \subseteq K_2$.

Definition 2.15. [9] Let τ be the collection of \mathfrak{BS} -sets over K_1 and K_2 and Q denotes the set of parameters. Then τ is said to be binary soft topology on K_1 and K_2 if

- (1) $\tilde{\emptyset}, \tilde{Q} \in \tau$
- (2) The union of any numbers of \mathfrak{BS} -sets in τ belongs to τ .
- (3) The intersection of any two \mathfrak{BS} -sets in τ belongs to τ .

3. Binary \check{C} ECH SOFT CLOSURE SPACES

In this section, we define binary (resp. binary \tilde{C} ech) soft closure operator and discuss their basic properties. We also introduce the notion of morphism between soft closure space and binary \check{C} ech soft closure space.

Definition 3.1. Let K_1 and K_2 are two initial universal sets and Q be a set of parameters. A mapping ∂ from the family of all \mathfrak{BS} -sets over K_1, K_2 to itself (i.e., ∂ : $SS(K_1, K_2, Q) \longrightarrow SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$) is called a binary soft closure operator (\mathcal{BSCO} , for short) if 1. $\partial(\tilde{\emptyset}) = \tilde{\emptyset}$, 2. $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \overset{\sim}{\sqsubseteq} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q \implies \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q),$

The space (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) is then referred to as a binary soft closure space (BSCS, for short).

To explain Definition 3.1, we'll provide an example.

Example 3.1. Let $K_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, $K_2 = \{d_1, d_2\}$ and $Q = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$. Let ∂ : $SS(K_1, K_2, Q) \rightarrow SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ be a mapping defined as follows:

$$\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\emptyset} & if \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} = \tilde{\emptyset}, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} & if \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} = \{(\omega_1, (N, \emptyset)) : N \in P(K_1)\}, \\ \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} & if \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} = \{(\omega_2, (\emptyset, M)) : M \in P(K_2)\}, \\ \tilde{\tilde{Q}} & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then, ∂ is a BSCO. Hence, (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) is BSCS.

Definition 3.2. The BSCO is a binary Čech soft closure operator (BČSCO, for short) if it satisfies the property $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q \widetilde{\widetilde{\Box}} \tilde{\tilde{G}}_Q) = \partial(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q) \widetilde{\widetilde{\Box}} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{G}}_Q)$. Then, (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) is called a binary Čech soft closure space (BČSCS, for short).

The next example illustrates Definition 3.2.

686

Example 3.2. Let $K_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$, $K_2 = \{d_1, d_2\}$ and $Q = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$. Let ∂ : $SS(K_1, K_2, Q) \rightarrow SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ be a mapping defined as follows, for i = 1, 2:

$$\partial (\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\emptyset} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \tilde{\emptyset}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \{d_1\}))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1\}, \emptyset))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_2\}, \{d_1\}))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\{a_2\}, \emptyset))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \{d_1\}))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \emptyset))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \{d_1\}))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_3\}, \emptyset))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (K_1, \{d_1\}))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_3\}, \emptyset))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (K_1, \{d_1\}))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1, a_3\}, \emptyset))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1\}, K_2))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\emptyset, \{d_1\}))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1\}, K_2))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\emptyset, \{d_1\}))\}, \\ \{(\omega_i, (\{a_1\}, K_2))\} & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_i, (\emptyset, K_2))\}, \\ \partial(\{((\omega_1, (N_1, \emptyset))\}) \widetilde{\square} \partial((\{(\omega_2, (\emptyset, M_2))\})) & \text{if} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_1, (N_1, M_1)), (\omega_2, (N_2, M_2)): N_1, N_2 \subseteq K_1, (M_1, M_2 \subseteq K_2\}. \end{cases}$$

Then, ∂ is a \mathcal{BCSCO} . Therefore, (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) is \mathcal{BCSCS} .

Remark 3.1. Every $\mathcal{B}\check{C}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}$ is $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}$ but not conversely. In Example 3.1, ∂ is not $\mathcal{B}\check{C}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}$ since there exist $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} = \{(\omega_1, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \emptyset))\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}_Q} = \{(\omega_1, (\emptyset, \{d_1\}))\}$ such that $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \cong \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}) = \tilde{\tilde{Q}} \neq \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \cong \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{G}_Q}) = \{(\omega_1, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \{d_1\}))\}.$

Definition 3.3. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Any \mathfrak{BG} -set $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ is said to be ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BG} -set if $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}$ and a \mathfrak{BG} -set $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q$ is ∂ -open \mathfrak{BG} -set if $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ is ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BG} -set.

Proposition 3.1. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a $\mathcal{B}\check{\mathcal{CSCS}}$. Then, $\tilde{\check{\emptyset}}$ and $\tilde{\check{Q}}$ are both ∂ -open(resp., ∂ -closed) $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -set.

Proof. Since $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}) = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$, then $\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$ is ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set and hence $\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}' = \tilde{\tilde{Q}}$ is ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -set. Now, since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)$ for all $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$, then $\tilde{\tilde{Q}} \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{Q}})$. On the other hand, since $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\tilde{Q}}$ for all $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$, then $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{Q}}) \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\tilde{Q}}$. This implies $\tilde{\tilde{Q}} = \partial(\tilde{\tilde{Q}})$ which is ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set. Hence, $\tilde{\tilde{Q}}' = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$ is ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -set. \Box

Definition 3.4. A \mathcal{BCSCO} ∂_1 is said to be finer than a \mathcal{BCSCO} ∂_2 on the same K_1 and K_2 and the set of parameters Q if $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q) \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)$ for all $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$. Then, we write $\partial_2 \stackrel{\sim}{\approx} \partial_1$.

Remark 3.2. The discrete binary soft closure operator given by $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ for all $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ is the finest binary soft closure operator over K_1 and K_2 . The indiscrete binary soft closure operator is given by $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \tilde{\emptyset}$ for all $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \neq \tilde{\emptyset}$ is the coarsest binary soft closure operator over K_1 and K_2 .

Definition 3.5. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Then, the binary \check{C} ech soft interior operator associated with ∂ , denoted by Int_∂ is a mapping from $SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ to itself given by $Int_\partial(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q) = (\partial(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q'))'$. ABG-set $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q$ is ∂ -open BG-set if and only if $Int_\partial(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q) = \tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q$.

Now, we show for each $\mathcal{BCSCS}(K_1, K_2, \partial, Q)$, there exists a binary soft topological space $(K_1, K_2, \tau_\partial, Q)$ which is defined naturally. That is $\tau_\partial = \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q^{'}: \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q^{'}\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Then the set of all ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -sets is a binary soft topology over K_1 and K_2 .

Proof. Let $\tau_{\partial} = \{\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}' : \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}\}$ be the family of all ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -sets over K_1 and K_2 . We must show τ_{∂} satisfies the three conditions of Definition 2.15.

- (1) Since $\tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{Q}}$ are ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -sets, then $\tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{Q}}$ are in τ_{∂} .
- (2) Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q} \in \tau_\partial$. Then, $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}' = \{(\omega, (K_1 \mathcal{F}^1(\omega), K_2 \mathcal{F}^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\}$ and $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}) = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}' = \{(\omega, (K_1 - \mathcal{G}^1(\omega), K_2 - \mathcal{G}^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\}$. To prove $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \tilde{\sqcap} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q \tilde{\square}$ is an ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -set. That means to prove $\partial((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \tilde{\sqcap} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)') = (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \tilde{\sqcap} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)'$.

$$\begin{split} \partial((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Q}\widetilde{\sqcap}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{Q}^{\widetilde{\sqcap}})') &= & \partial(\{(\omega,(K_{1}-(\mathcal{F}^{1}(\omega)\cap\mathcal{G}^{1}(\omega)),K_{2}-(\mathcal{F}^{2}(\omega)\cap\mathcal{G}^{2}(\omega)))):\omega\in Q\}) \\ &= & \partial(\{(\omega,((K_{1}-\mathcal{F}^{1}(\omega))\cup(K_{1}-\mathcal{G}^{1}(\omega)),((K_{2}-\mathcal{F}^{2}(\omega))\cup(K_{2}-\mathcal{G}^{2}(\omega)))):\omega\in Q\}) \\ &= & \partial(\{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{F}^{1}(\omega),K_{2}-\mathcal{F}^{2}(\omega))):\omega\in Q\})\widetilde{\square}\{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{G}^{1}(\omega),K_{2}-\mathcal{G}^{2}(\omega))):\omega\in Q\}) \\ &= & \partial(\{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{F}^{1}(\omega),K_{2}-\mathcal{F}^{2}(\omega))):\omega\in Q\})\widetilde{\square}\partial(\{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{G}^{1}(\omega),K_{2}-\mathcal{G}^{2}(\omega))):\omega\in Q\}) \\ &= & \{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{F}^{1}(\omega),K_{2}-\mathcal{F}^{2}(\omega))):\omega\in Q\}\widetilde{\square}\{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{G}^{1}(\omega),K_{2}-\mathcal{G}^{2}(\omega))):\omega\in Q\} \\ &= & \{(\omega,(K_{1}-\mathcal{F}^{1}(\omega)\cap\mathcal{G}^{1}(\omega)),K_{2}-(\mathcal{F}^{2}(\omega)\cap\mathcal{G}^{2}(\omega)))):\omega\in Q\}. \\ &\text{Thus,} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Q}\widetilde{\sqcap}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{Q}^{\widetilde{o}} \text{ is an ∂-open }\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}-\text{set.} \end{split}$$

(3) Consider an arbitrary collection of ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -sets $\{(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)_{\alpha} : \alpha \in J\}$. For each $\alpha \in J, (\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha}$ is an ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set and $\widetilde{\sqcap}_{\alpha \in J}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha} \widetilde{\Xi}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha}$. So, $\partial(\widetilde{\sqcap}_{\alpha \in J}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha})\widetilde{\Xi}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha})\widetilde{\Xi}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha}$ is an ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set. Hence, $\partial(\widetilde{\sqcap}_{\alpha \in J}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha})\widetilde{\Xi}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha}$. Thus, $\widetilde{\widetilde{\sqcap}}_{\alpha \in J}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)'_{\alpha}$ is an ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set. Hence, $\widetilde{\Box}(\tilde{\tilde{F}}_Q)_{\alpha}$ is an ∂ -open \mathfrak{BS} -set.

Definition 3.6. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} and τ_{∂} be the induced binary soft topology of $((K_1, K_2, \partial, Q))$. Then, $(K_1, K_2, \tau_{\partial}, Q)$ is called the induced binary soft topological space.

Proposition 3.2. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Then,

- (1) The union of any two ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -sets is an ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set.
- (2) The intersection of any family of ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -sets is an ∂ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set.

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 3.7. Let SS(V, R) and $SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ be the families of all soft sets over V and \mathfrak{BS} -sets over K_1 and K_2 respectively. Let $f: V \longrightarrow K_1 \times K_2$, and $p: R \longrightarrow Q$ are mappings, such that if $A \subset V$, then $f(A) = (C, D) \in P(K_1) \times P(K_2)$, where $C = \{x : (x, y) = f(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ and $D = \{y : (x, y) = f(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}$. Then, a binary soft mapping $f_p : SS(V, R) \longrightarrow SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ is defined as:

(1) Let $\mathcal{F}_R \in SS(V, R)$, then $f_p(\mathcal{F}_R)$ is a \mathfrak{BS} -set over K_1 and K_2 given by

$$f_p(\mathcal{F}_R)(\omega) = f(\bigcup_{r \in p^{-1}(\omega)} \mathcal{F}(r)), \text{ for all } \omega \in Q$$

(2) Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$. Then, $f_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$ is a soft set over V given by $f_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})(r) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(p(r)))$, for $r \in R$

Example 3.3. Consider the following sets: $V = \{v_1, v_2\}, K_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, K_2 = \{d_1, d_2\}, R = \{r_1, r_2\}, Q = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \text{ and } SS(V, R), SS(K_1, K_2, Q) \text{ are the classes of all soft sets over } V \text{ and } \mathfrak{BS}\text{-set over } K_1 \text{ and } K_2 \text{ respectively. Define } f : V \longrightarrow K_1 \times K_2 \text{ and } p : R \longrightarrow Q \text{ as: } f(v_1) = (a_1, d_1), f(v_2) = (a_3, d_2), p(r_1) = \omega_1, p(r_2) = \omega_2. \text{ Choose the soft set } \mathcal{F}_R \in SS(V, R) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q) \text{ as:}$

 $\mathcal{F}_{R} = \{(r_{1}, \{v_{1}\}), (r_{2}, \{v_{1}, v_{2}\})\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Q} = \{(\omega_{1}, (\{a_{1}, a_{2}\}, \{d_{2}\})), (\omega_{2}, (\{a_{3}\}, \{d_{1}\}))\}.$ Therefore, the binary soft mapping $f_{p} : SS(V, R) \longrightarrow SS(K_{1}, K_{2}, Q)$ is defined as:

 $\begin{aligned} f_p(\mathcal{F}_R)(\omega_1) &= f(\cup \mathcal{F}(r_1)) = f(\{v_1\}) = (\{a_1\}, \{d_1\}), \ f_p(\mathcal{F}_R)(\omega_2) = f(\cup \mathcal{F}(r_2)) = f(\{v_1, v_2\}) \\ &= (\{a_1, a_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}). \ \text{Hence,} \ f_p(\mathcal{F}_R) = \{(\omega_1, (\{a_1\}, \{d_1\})), (\omega_2, (\{a_1, a_3\}, \{d_1, d_2\}))\} \ \text{is a} \\ \mathfrak{BS}\text{-sets over } K_1 \ \text{and} \ K_2. \ \text{Moreover, for the inverse image of } \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \ \text{is given as:} \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} f_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})(r_1) &= f^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(p(r_1))) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega_1)) = f^{-1}((\{a_1\}, \{d_1\})) = \{v_1\} \\ f_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})(r_2) &= f^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(p(r_2))) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega_2)) = f^{-1}((\{a_3\}, \{d_1\})) = \emptyset, \\ Thus, \ f_p^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) &= \{(r_1, \{v_1\})\}. \end{split}$$

4. Relationships between \check{C} ech soft closure spaces and binary \check{C} ech soft closure spaces

In this section, we study the relationships between \check{C} ech soft closure spaces and binary \check{C} ech soft closure spaces, and define the notion of dense binary soft sets in binary \check{C} ech soft closure spaces. First, we need to give a notation which will be used to express that every $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -sets over K_1 and K_2 can be written as two soft sets over K_1 and K_2 respectively.

Notation 4.1. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ be a \mathfrak{BS} -set over K_1, K_2 . That means $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (N, M)) : \omega \in Q, N \in P(K_1), M \in P(K_2)\}$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ reduce two soft sets denoted as \mathcal{F}_Q^1 and \mathcal{F}_Q^2 where $\mathcal{F}_Q^1 \in SS(K_1, Q)$ and $\mathcal{F}_Q^2 \in SS(K_2, Q)$ defined as: $\mathcal{F}_Q^1 = \{(\omega, N) : \omega \in Q, N \in P(K_1)\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_Q^2 = \{(\omega, M) : \omega \in Q, M \in P(K_2)\}$. Thus, every \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ can be written as the following: $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (F^1(\omega), F^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, F^1(\omega) \in P(K_1), F^2(\omega) \in P(K_2)\}$.

The following example illustrates Notation 4.1.

Example 4.1. In Example 3.3, choose $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ the \mathfrak{BS} -set over K_1, K_2 defined as: $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_1, (\{a_1, a_2\}, \{d_2\})), (\omega_2, (\{a_3\}, \{d_1\}))\}$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ can be written as: $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega_1, (\mathcal{F}^1(\omega_1) = \{a_1, a_2\}, \mathcal{F}^2(\omega_1) = \{d_2\})), (\omega_2, (\mathcal{F}^1(\omega_2) = \{a_3\}, \mathcal{F}^2(\omega_2) = \{d_1\}))\},$ Thus, the two soft sets reduce from $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ are: $\mathcal{F}_Q^1 = \{(\omega_1, \mathcal{F}^1(\omega_1) = \{a_1, a_2\}), (\omega_2, \mathcal{F}^1(\omega_2) = \{a_3\})\},$ and $\mathcal{F}_Q^2 = \{(\omega_1, \mathcal{F}^2(\omega_1) = \{d_2\}), (\omega_2, \mathcal{F}^2(\omega_2) = \{d_1\})\}.$

In the next, we introduce theorem to show that from each $\mathcal{B}\check{CSCS}$ we can obtain two \check{C} ech soft closure spaces.

Theorem 4.1. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} , let $\partial_{K_1} : SS(K_1, Q) \longrightarrow SS(K_1, Q)$ given by $\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q) = \mathcal{G}_Q$ for all $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$; $\mathcal{F}_Q = \{(\omega, \mathcal{F}(\omega)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{F}(\omega) \subseteq K_1\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_Q = \{(\omega, \mathcal{G}(\omega)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{G}(\omega) \subseteq K_1\}$ where $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}(\omega), \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{F}(\omega) \subseteq K_1\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{G}(\omega), M)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{G}(\omega) \subseteq K_1, M \subseteq K_2\}$. Then, the mapping ∂_{K_1} is a \check{C} ech soft closure operator over K_1 . Similarly, let $\partial_{K_2} : SS(K_2, Q) \longrightarrow$ $SS(K_2, Q)$ given by $\partial_{K_2}(H_Q) = U_Q$ for all $H_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$; $H_Q = \{(\omega, H(\omega)) : \omega \in Q, H(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$ and $U_Q = \{(\omega, U(\omega)) : \omega \in Q, U(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$ where $\partial(\tilde{H}_Q) = \tilde{U}_Q$ such that $\tilde{H}_Q = \{(\omega, (\emptyset, H(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, H(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$ and $\tilde{U}_Q = \{(\omega, (N, U(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, N \subseteq K_1, U(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$. Then, the mapping ∂_{K_2} is a \check{C} ech soft closure operator over K_2 .

- Proof. (1) Since ∂ is a $\mathcal{B}\check{\mathcal{CSCS}}$, then $\partial(\tilde{\emptyset}) = \emptyset$. This implies $\partial_{K_1}(\tilde{\emptyset}_Q) = \tilde{\emptyset}_Q$ and $\partial_{K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}_Q) = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}_Q$.
 - (2) For $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$ and $H_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$. We must prove $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq \partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q)$ and $H_Q \sqsubseteq \partial_{K_2}(H_Q)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_Q = \{(\omega, \mathcal{F}(\omega)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{F}(\omega) \subseteq K_1\}$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}(\omega), \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{F}(\omega) \subseteq K_1\} \stackrel{\sim}{\sqsubseteq} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)$. Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \stackrel{\sim}{\sqsubseteq} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)$. Now, since $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q), M)) : \omega \in Q, \text{ for some } M \subseteq K_2\}$. That means $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \stackrel{\sim}{\sqsubseteq} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q), M)) : \omega \in Q, \text{ for some } M \subseteq K_2\}$. It follows, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \stackrel{\sim}{\sqsubseteq} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q), M)) : \omega \in Q, \text{ for some } M \subseteq K_2\}$. Which gives $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq \partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q)$ for all $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$. Similarly, $\tilde{H}_Q \stackrel{\sim}{\sqsubseteq} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_Q), where \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\emptyset, H(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, H(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$ which gives $H_Q \sqsubseteq \partial_{K_2}(H_Q)$.
 - (3) Let $\mathcal{G}_Q, \mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$ and $\dot{H}_Q, U_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq \mathcal{G}_Q$ and $H_Q \sqsubseteq U_Q$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \overset{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q$ and $\tilde{H}_Q \overset{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_Q$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}(\omega), \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{F}(\omega) \subseteq K_1\}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{G}(\omega), M)) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{G}(\omega) \subseteq K_1, M \subseteq K_2\}, \tilde{H}_Q = \{(\omega, (\emptyset, H(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, H(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$ and $\tilde{U}_Q = \{(\omega, (N, U(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, N \subseteq K_1, U(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}$. Therefore, $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) \overset{\sim}{\boxtimes} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)$ and $\partial(\tilde{H}_Q) \overset{\sim}{\boxtimes} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_Q)$ implies $\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q) \sqsubseteq \partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{G}_Q)$ and $\partial_{K_2}(H_Q) \sqsubseteq \partial_{K_2}(U_Q)$. Now, let $\mathcal{G}_Q, \mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$ and $H_Q, U_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$. Since $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) \overset{\sim}{\boxtimes} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_Q) = \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \overset{\sim}{\boxtimes} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_Q)$, then $\partial_{K_2}(H_Q) \sqcup \partial_{K_2}(U_Q) = \partial_{K_2}(H_Q \sqcup U_Q)$. Also, since $\partial(\tilde{H}_Q) \overset{\sim}{\boxtimes} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_Q) = \partial(\tilde{\tilde{H}}_Q \overset{\sim}{\boxtimes} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_Q)$, then $\partial_{K_2}(H_Q) \sqcup \partial_{K_2}(U_Q) = \partial_{K_2}(H_Q \sqcup U_Q)$. Hence, $\partial_{K_1}, \partial_{K_2}$ are Čech soft closure operators.

In the next proposition, we show that from any two \check{C} ech soft closure spaces we can obtain a $\mathcal{B}\check{C}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 4.1. If (K_1, ∂_1, Q) and (K_2, ∂_2, Q) are two Čech soft closure spaces, then $(K_1, K_2, \partial_{K_1K_2}, Q)$ where $\partial_{K_1K_2} : SS(K_1, K_2, Q) \longrightarrow SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ is given by $\partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$ $= \{(\omega, (\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1)(\omega), \partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, \partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1)(\omega) \subseteq K_1, \partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2)(\omega) \subseteq K_2\}.$ Where \mathcal{F}_Q^1 and \mathcal{F}_Q^2 are soft sets which reduced from the \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ (as we explain in Notation 4.1).

- Proof. (1) $\partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}) = \{(\omega, (\partial_1(\tilde{\emptyset}_Q)(\omega), \partial_2(\tilde{\emptyset}_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} = \{(\omega, (\emptyset, \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q\} = \{(\omega, (\emptyset, \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q\} = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}} \text{ since } \partial_1 \text{ and } \partial_2 \text{ are } \check{C}\text{ech soft closure operators.} \}$
 - (2) Let $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$ and $\mathcal{G}_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$. Then, $\mathcal{F}_Q \sqsubseteq \partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q)$ and $\mathcal{G}_Q \sqsubseteq \partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q)$. This implies $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}(\omega), \mathcal{G}(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} \sqsubseteq \{(\omega, (\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q)(\omega), \partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} = \partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q).$

(3) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$. Then, from Notation 4.1, $\mathcal{F}_Q^1, G_Q^1 \in SS(K_1, Q)$ and $\mathcal{F}_Q^2, G_Q^2 \in SS(K_2, Q)$. So, $\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1) \sqcup \partial_1(\mathcal{G}_Q^1) = \partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_Q^1)$ and $\partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2) \sqcup \partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q^2) = \partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_Q^2)$. Now,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})\widetilde{\widetilde{\sqcup}}\partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}) &=& \{(\omega,(\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1)(\omega),\partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2)(\omega))):\omega\in Q\}\widetilde{\widetilde{\sqcup}}\\ && \{(\omega,(\partial_1(\mathcal{G}_Q^1)(\omega),\partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q^2)(\omega))):\omega\in Q\}\\ &=& \{(\omega,(\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1)(\omega)\cup\partial_1(\mathcal{G}_Q^1)(\omega),\partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2)(\omega)\cup\partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q^2)(\omega))):\\ && \omega\in Q\}\\ &=& \{(\omega,(\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1\sqcup\mathcal{G}_Q^1)(\omega),\partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2\sqcup\mathcal{G}_Q^2)(\omega))):\omega\in Q\}\\ &=& \partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}\widetilde{\widetilde{\sqcup}}\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}). \end{array}$$

Thus, $\partial_{K_1K_2}$ is a binary Čech soft closure operator.

Lemma 4.1. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Then, $\{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}^1)(\omega), \partial_{K_2}(\mathcal{F}^2_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)$ for all $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ and $\mathcal{F}_Q^1, \mathcal{F}_Q^2$ are the associated soft sets of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}$ be a \mathfrak{BG} -set. From Notation 4.1, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}$ can be represented as the form $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}^1(\omega), \mathcal{F}^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, \mathcal{F}^1(\omega) \in P(K_1), \mathcal{F}^2(\omega) \in P(K_2)\}$. Then, $\partial_{K_1} : SS(K_1, Q) \longrightarrow SS(K_1, Q)$ and $\partial_{K_2} : SS(K_2, Q) \longrightarrow SS(K_2, Q)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}^1_Q)(\omega), \partial_{K_2}(\mathcal{F}^2_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} &= \{(\omega, \partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}^1_Q)(\omega), \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q\} \cup \\ \{(\omega, (\emptyset, \partial_{K_2}(\mathcal{F}^2_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} \\ &\subseteq \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}^1_Q)(\omega), M)) : \omega \in Q, M \subseteq K_2\} \\ &\cup \{(\omega, (N, \partial_{K_2}(\mathcal{F}^2_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, N \subseteq K_1\} \\ &= \partial(\{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}^1(\omega), \emptyset)) : \omega \in Q\}) \cup \\ &\partial(\{(\omega, (\emptyset, \mathcal{F}^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\}) \\ &= \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Then ∂ is coarser than $\partial_{K_1K_2}$.

Proof. We must show for all $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ we have $\partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$. From Notation 4.1, any \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ can be represented as $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\omega) = (F^1(\omega), F^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q, F^1(\omega) \in P(K_1), F^2(\omega) \in P(K_2)\}.$ $\partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(F_Q^1)(\omega), \partial_{K_2}(F_Q^2)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence, the result.

Proposition 4.2. Let (K_1, ∂_1, Q) and (K_2, ∂_2, Q) be two \check{C} ech soft closure spaces and $\partial_{K_1K_2}$ as in Proposition 4.1. If $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$ is ∂_1 -closed soft set and $\mathcal{G}_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$ is ∂_2 -closed soft set, then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}(\omega), \mathcal{G}(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\}$ is $\partial_{K_1K_2}$ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F}_Q be a ∂_1 -closed soft set. Then, $\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q) = \mathcal{F}_Q$ and let \mathcal{G}_Q be a ∂_2 -closed soft set. Then, $\partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q) = \mathcal{G}_Q$. Since $\partial_{K_1K_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \{(\omega, \partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q)(\omega), \partial_2(\mathcal{G}_Q)(\omega)) : \omega \in Q\} = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}(\omega), \mathcal{G}(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ is $\partial_{K_1K_2}$ -closed \mathfrak{BS} -set.

Definition 4.1. Let (V, C, R) be a soft closure space and (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . Then, the binary soft mapping $f_p: (V, C, R) \longrightarrow (K_1, K_2, \partial, Q)$ is called a $C - \partial$ binary soft morphism if $f_p(C(\mathcal{F}_Q)) \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} \partial(f_p(\mathcal{F}_Q))$ for all $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(V, R)$.

Definition 4.2. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} . A \mathfrak{BS} -set $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$ is said to be ∂ -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set, if $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \tilde{Q}$.

Proposition 4.3. Let (K_1, K_2, ∂, Q) be a \mathcal{BCSCS} , $\mathcal{F}_Q \in SS(K_1, Q)$ is ∂_{K_1} -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set and $\mathcal{G}_Q \in SS(K_2, Q)$ is ∂_{K_2} -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q = \{(\omega, \mathcal{F}(\omega), \mathcal{G}(\omega)) : \omega \in Q\}$ is ∂ -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set.

Proof. Since \mathcal{F}_Q is ∂_{K_1} -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set, this implies $\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q) = \tilde{K}_1 = \{(\omega, K_1) : \omega \in Q\},$ and since \mathcal{G}_Q is ∂_{K_2} -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set, this implies $\partial_{K_2}(\mathcal{G}_Q) = \tilde{K}_2 = \{(\omega, K_2) : \omega \in Q\}.$ Now, $\tilde{\tilde{Q}} = \{(\omega, K_1, K_2) : \omega \in Q\} = \{(\omega, (\partial_{K_1}(\mathcal{F}_Q)(\omega), \partial_{K_2}(\mathcal{G}_Q)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{E}} \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q).$ Therefore, $\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) = \tilde{Q}.$ Thus, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}_Q$ is ∂ -dense \mathfrak{BS} -set.

Corollary 4.1. Let (K_1, ∂_1, Q) and (K_2, ∂_2, Q) be two Čech soft closure spaces. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ is ∂ -dense if and only if \mathcal{F}_Q^1 is ∂_1 -dense and \mathcal{F}_Q^2 is ∂_2 -dense.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}$ be a \mathfrak{BS} -set over K_1 and K_2 . Then, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} = \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}^1(\omega), \mathcal{F}^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\}$. Suppose that $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}$ is ∂ -dense. This implies

$$\begin{array}{lll} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) & = & \tilde{\tilde{Q}} \\ & \Longleftrightarrow & \{(\omega, (\mathcal{F}^1(\omega), \mathcal{F}^2(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} = \{(\omega, (K_1, K_2)) : \omega \in Q\} \\ & \Longleftrightarrow & \{(\omega, (\partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1)(\omega), \partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2)(\omega))) : \omega \in Q\} = \{(\omega, (K_1, K_2)) : \omega \in Q\} \\ & \Longleftrightarrow & \partial_1(\mathcal{F}_Q^1) = \tilde{K_1} \text{ and } \partial_2(\mathcal{F}_Q^2) = \tilde{K_2} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_Q^1 \text{ is } \partial_1 - dense \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_Q^2 \text{ is } \partial_2 - dense. \end{array}$$

5. Operation on binary \check{C} ech soft closure operators

Definition 5.1. Let ∂_1 and ∂_2 be two binaries \check{C} ech soft closure operators over K_1 and K_2 . Then, $(\partial_1 \cup \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \stackrel{\sim}{\sqcup} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$ and $(\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}))$.

Proposition 5.1. If ∂_1 and ∂_2 binaries \check{C} ech soft closure operators over K_1 and K_2 and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$. Then, $(\partial_1 \cup \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} (\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$. Since $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \cong \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$, then $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \cong \partial_1(\partial_2((\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}))$. Also, $\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \cong \partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}))$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_1 \cup \partial_2)(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) &= \partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\tilde{\widetilde{\square}}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q) \\ & \tilde{\widetilde{\sqsubseteq}} & \partial_1(\partial_2((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q))\tilde{\widetilde{\square}}\partial_1(\partial_2((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q))) \\ & \tilde{\widetilde{\sqsubseteq}} & \partial_1(\partial_2((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q))) \\ & = & (\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $(K_1, K_2, \partial_1, Q)$ and $(K_1, K_2, \partial_2, Q)$ be two \mathcal{BCSCS} 's. Then, $\tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2} = \tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2} = \tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2} = \tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2}$.

Proof. First, we prove $\tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2} = \tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2}$. Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2}$. Then, $(\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ that means $\partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q'$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q' \stackrel{\simeq}{\sqsubseteq} \partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')$, then we get $\partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')) \stackrel{\simeq}{\sqsubseteq} \partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')$. By the second condition of the property of ∂_1 , we have $\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q') \stackrel{\simeq}{\sqsubseteq} \partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q'))$ which implies $\partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')) = \partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')$. That means $\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')$ is ∂_1 -closed $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -set. Now, since $\partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')) = \partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')$ and since $\partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q'$, then we have $\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q') = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q'$. That means $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ is ∂_2 -open $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -set. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in \tau_{\partial_2}$. Now, $\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q') = \partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q')) = (\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q') = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q'$. Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q$ is ∂_1 -open $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{S}$ -set. It follows, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q \in \tau_{\partial_1}$. This yields, $\tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2} \subseteq \tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2}$.

Conversely, let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2}$. Then, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_1}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_2}$ which means $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ and $\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ respectively. On the other hand, $(\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \partial_1(\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}')) =$ $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}' = \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \partial_2(\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}')) = (\partial_2 \circ \partial_1)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}')$. It follows, $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_2 \circ \partial_1}$. Hence, $\tau_{\partial_1 \circ \partial_2} = \tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2}$ and $\tau_{\partial_2 \circ \partial_1} = \tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2}$. Now, to prove $\tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2} = \tau_{\partial_1 \cup \partial_2}$. Suppose $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_1 \cup \partial_2}$. Then, $(\partial_1 \cup \partial_2)(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ this implies $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') \widetilde{\square} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ if and only if $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ and $\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}') = \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}'$ that means $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in \tau_{\partial_1} \cap \tau_{\partial_2}$.

Remark 5.1. Let ∂_1 and ∂_2 be two binary $\check{C}ech$ soft closure operators. Then, $\partial_1 \cap \partial_2$ need not to be binary $\check{C}ech$ soft closure operator.

Proof. Let $\partial = \partial_1 \cap \partial_2$, i.e., $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) = \partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \widetilde{\cap} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$ for all $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \in SS(K_1, K_2, Q)$.

- (1) $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}) = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$ since $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}) = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$ and $\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}) = \tilde{\tilde{\emptyset}}$.
- (2) Since $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) and \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$, then $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q})$.
- (3) Let $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q} \overset{\sim}{\cong} \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}$. Then, $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q})$ and $\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q})$. It follows, $\partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \overset{\sim}{\widetilde{\sqcap}} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}) \overset{\sim}{\widetilde{\sqcap}} \partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q})$ implies $\partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}) \overset{\sim}{\cong} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q})$. Thus, ∂ is a binary soft closure operator. But,

$$\begin{split} \partial(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}\widetilde{\square}\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q}) &= \partial_1(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}\widetilde{\square}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q}\widetilde{\square}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\\ &= \{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\square}\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\widetilde{\sqcap}\{\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\square}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\\ &= \{\{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\square}\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\}\widetilde{\square}\{\{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\square}\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\\ &= \{\{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\}\widetilde{\square}\{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\}\}\widetilde{\square}\\ &\quad \{\{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\widetilde{\square}\{\partial_1(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\sqcap}\partial_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\}\}\\ &\quad \widetilde{\square} \quad \partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\square}\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q)\\ & \text{Hence, }\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q\widetilde{\square}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q) \text{ need not be equal }\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q)\widetilde{\square}\partial(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Q). \end{split}$$

6. Conclusions

This study introduces and investigates the concept of binary \tilde{C} ech soft closure space, which is defined over two initial universe sets with fixed parameter sets. \check{C} ech soft closure space is extended and generalized in this space. Closed (open) binary soft sets, binary soft interior, and dense binary soft sets are defined and studied as one of the most basic concepts in this space. Relationships between binary \check{C} ech soft closure space and \check{C} ech soft closure space are deduced. Examples and counterexamples are presented to illustrate some of our results. Some operations on binary \check{C} ech soft closure operators are defined.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee(s) for their helpful suggestions, which improved this paper.

References

- Acikgoz, A. and Tas, N., (2016), Binary soft set theory, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 9(4), pp. 452-463.
- [2] Benchalli, S. S., Patil, P. G., Dodamani, A. S. and Pradeepkumar, J., (2017), On binary soft topological spaces, International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 30(6), pp. 437-453.
- [3] Boonpok, C. and Khampakdee, J., (2008), Between closed sets and generalized closed sets in closure spaces, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, 16, pp. 3-14.
- [4] Čech, E., (1968), Topological Spaces, Topological Papers of Eduard Čech, Academia, Prague, pp. 436-472.
- [5] Chacko, T. M. and Susha, D., (2018), Binary Čech closure spaces, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 13(18), pp. 13589-13595.
- [6] Ekram, S. T. and Majeed, R. N., (2020), Soft closure spaces, The Fifth International Scientific Conference of Iraqi Al-khwarizmi Association (FISCAS) 2020 26-27 June 2020, Iraq, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1591, pp. 1-14.
- [7] Ekram, S. T. and Majeed, R. N., (2021), Soft continuous mappings in soft closure spaces, Iraqi Journal of Science, 62(8), pp. 2676-2684.
- [8] Gowri, R. and Jegadeesan, G., (2014), On soft Čech closure spaces, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 9(2), pp. 122-127.
- [9] Hussain, S., (2019), On some structure of binary soft topological spaces, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 48(3), pp. 644-656.
- [10] Hussain, S., (2019), Binary soft connected spaces and an application of binary soft sets in decision making problem, Fuzzy Information and Engineering, 11(4), pp. 506-521.
- [11] Khampaladee, J., (2009), Semi open sets in closure spaces, Ph. D. thesis, Bruno University, Bruno, pp. 10-21.
- [12] Krishnaveni, J. and Sekar, C., (2014), Čech Soft Closure Spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Trends and Technology, 6, pp. 123-135.

694

- [13] Maibed, L. H. and Majeed, R. N., (2018), Some types of regularity and normality axioms in Čech fuzzy soft closure spaces, Journal of New Theory, 24, pp. 73-87.
- [14] Majeed, R. N., (2018), Čech fuzzy soft closure spaces, International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications, 7(2), pp. 62-74.
- [15] Majeed, R. N., (2019), Generalized closed fuzzy soft sets in Čech fuzzy soft closure spaces, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 22(8), pp. 1433-1441.
- [16] Majeed, R. N. and Maibed, L. H., (2019), Lower separation axioms in Čech fuzzy soft closure spaces, Gazi University Journal of Science, 32(4), pp. 1254-1269.
- [17] Majeed, R. N. and Ekram, S. T., (2021), g-closed soft sets in soft closure spaces, Ibn Al-Haitham International Conference for Pure and Applied Sciences (IHICPS) 9-10 December 2020, Baghdad, Iraq, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1879, pp. 1-10.
- [18] Maji, P. K., Biswas, R. and Roy, A. R., Soft set theory, (2003), Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 45, pp. 555-562.
- [19] Molodtsov, D., (1999), Soft set theory-First results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37, pp. 19-31.
- [20] Nithyanantha Jothi, S. and Thangavelu, P., (2011), Topology between two sets, Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Applications, 1(3), pp. 95-107.
- [21] Roth, D. N. and Carlson, J. W., (1980), Čech closure spaces, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 20, pp. 11-30.
- [22] Sunitha, T. A., (1994), A study on Čech closure spaces, Ph. D. thesis, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kerala, pp. 10-15.



Dr. Rasha Naser Majeed is an Assistant Professor of Pure Mathematics at the University of Baghdad, Faculty of Education for Pure Science Ibn Al-Haitham, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq. She received her Ph.D. degree in Pure Mathematics (Fuzzy Topology) from the Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Her research interests are in the areas of General Topology, Fuzzy topology and soft topology.