TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. V.6, N.1, 2016, pp. 47-53

ON GENERALIZATION OF WEIERSTRASS APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR A GENERAL CLASS OF POLYNOMIALS AND GENERATING FUNCTIONS

HEMANT KUMAR¹, M. A. PATHAN², \S

ABSTRACT. Here, in this work we present a generalization of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem for a general class of polynomials. Then we generalize it for two variable continuous function F(x,t) and prove that on a rectangle $[a,b] \times (-1,1)$, $a \leq x \leq b, |t| < 1, a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}$, it uniformly converges into a generating function. As a result, we are able to apply our theorems to derive a number of generating functions.

Keywords: Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, Bernstein Approximation theorem, generalization of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, generating functions.

AMS Subject Classification: 41A30, 33C20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Weierstrass [5] has proved his Weierstrass Approximation Theorem in the year 1885 (see also, Schep [4]). Here we put that in the following general form: Weierstrass Approximation Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$; $; a, b \in \mathbb{R}$; ; be a continuous function. Then f is on interval [a,b] a uniform limit of polynomials.

In other words, assume that the function f is continuous on bounded interval [a, b], given any $\in > 0$, there is a polynomial $P_n(n \ge 0)$ with sufficiently high degree n such that

$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| < \in, for \ a \le x \le b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1)

Now, to obtain a general proof of the theorem 1 we define a transformation formula for a bounded uniformly continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $H_k f(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)g(\frac{u-x}{k})du$, where k > 0, and g(x) is a probability density function defined by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx = 1, \text{ otherwise } g(x) = 0.$$
(2)

Again, in this order we prove that

Theorem 1.2. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded uniformly continuous function. Then $H_k f$ converges uniformly to f as k > 0.

¹ Department of Mathematics D. A-V. (P. G.) College Kanpur-208001 India.

e-mail: palhemant2007@rediffmail.com;

² Centre for Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Peechi Campus, KFRI, Peechi-680653,Kerala, India. e-mail: mapathan@gmail.com;

[§] Manuscript received: August 02, 2014; Accepted: March 16, 2015.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.6, No.1; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2016; all rights reserved.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < \delta$. Assume $|f(x)| \le M, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Again, g(x) is a probability density function so that with the help of Eqn. (2) we may write

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g\left(\frac{u-x}{k}\right) du, k > 0.$$
(3)

Now let $k_0 > 0$ such that $0 < k \le k_0 < \frac{\epsilon \delta}{4MF\left(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\right)}$, where $F\left(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\right) = \int_{\frac{\delta}{k_0}}^{\infty} (v) g(v) dv < \infty$, then on using Eqns. (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{k_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u) - f(x)|g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right) du|_{when|u-x|<\delta.} \\ &+ \frac{1}{k_0} \int_{|u-x|\geq\delta} |f(u) - f(x)|g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right) du \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{k_0} \int_{|u-x|\geq\delta} |f(u) - f(x)|g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right) du \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{2M}{k_0} \int_{|u-x|\geq\delta} g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right) du \end{aligned}$$
(4)

Again, let $\frac{u-x}{k_0} = v$ so that $du = k_0 dv$, $\left| \frac{u-x}{k_0} \right| = |v| \ge \frac{\delta}{k_0}$ and $\frac{k_0 |v|}{\delta} \ge 1$, also $|v| = \begin{cases} -v, v < 0, \\ v, v > 0;; \end{cases}$ then the inequality (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2M \int_{|v| \geq \frac{\delta}{k_0}} g(v) \, dv \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{2Mk_0}{\delta} \int_{|v| \geq \frac{\delta}{k_0}} |v| \, g(v) \, dv \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{2Mk_0}{\delta} \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{\delta}{k_0}} (-v) \, g(v) \, dv + \frac{2Mk_0}{\delta} \int_{\frac{\delta}{k_0}}^{\infty} (v) \, g(v) \, dv \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{2Mk_0}{\delta} \int_{\infty}^{\frac{\delta}{k_0}} (v) \, g(-v) \, dv + \frac{2Mk_0}{\delta} \int_{\frac{\delta}{k_0}}^{\infty} (v) \, g(v) \, dv \end{aligned}$$
(5)

Further, if in the Eqn. (5), the probability density function g(v) is even function then g(-v) = g(v) and for odd g(v) it is g(-v) = -g(v), therefore for $\int_{\frac{\delta}{k_0}}^{\infty} (v) g(v) dv = F\left(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\right) < \infty$, we get

$$|H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x)| \le \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left(\frac{4Mk_0}{\delta}\right) F\left(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\right), \ g(v) \text{ is even function,} \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2}, g(v) \text{ is odd function.} \end{cases}$$
(6)

Now, in Eqn. (6) we set $k_0 = \frac{\epsilon \delta}{8MF\left(\frac{\delta}{\epsilon \delta_0}\right)}$ to get

$$|H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x)| \le \begin{cases} \epsilon, g(v) \text{ is even function,} \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2}, g(v) \text{ is odd function.} \end{cases}$$
(7)

Finally, in Eqn. (7) as $\epsilon \to 0$, $H_{k_0}f(x)$ converges uniformly to f(x).

2. The Weierstrass Approximation Theorem for a General Class of Polynomials

Here, we extend the function f to a bounded uniformly continuous function on \mathbb{R} . This is accomplished here with the add of the following extended function.

Let $\frac{\hat{f}(x) - f(a)}{x - a} = f(a)$ on open interval (a - 1, a), and $\frac{f(x) - f(b)}{x - b} = -f(b)$ on open interval (b, b + 1), and f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [a - 1, b + 1]$.

Particularly, we consider R > 0 such that $R \in \mathbb{R}$ and f(x) = 0 for |x| > R. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and M such that $|f(x)| \leq M, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by Theorem 2 there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
 (8)

Again, f(u) = 0 for |u| > R, R > 0, then we may write

$$H_{k_0}f(x) = \frac{1}{k_0} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u)g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right) du, \text{ where } k_0 > 0.$$
(9)

Further letting $g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{C} \sum_{\substack{m=0 \ (-1)^m n!}}^n (-n)_m A_{n,m}(x)^{2m}$, where, $A_{n,m}(\forall n \ge 0, \forall m \ge 0)$ is

a bounded sequence, $(-n)_m = \frac{(-1)^m n!}{n-m!}, 0 \le m \le n$, and for m > n, there is $(-n)_m = 0$, and C is any constant which may be found on satisfying the equation (2). Also for all $|x| \le R$, and all $|u| \le R$, we have $|u-x| \le 2R$, so that $\left|\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right| \le \frac{2R}{k_0}$, hence then on closed interval $\left[-\frac{2R}{k_0}, \frac{2R}{k_0}\right]$, we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{k_0}g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right) - \frac{1}{Ck_0}\sum_{m=0}^n (-n)_m A_{n,m}\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)^{2m}\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4RM}$$
(10)

Thus with the help of Eqns. (9) and (10), we have

$$\left| H_{k_0} f(x) - \frac{1}{Ck_0} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-n)_m A_{n,m} \left(\frac{u-x}{k_0} \right)^{2m} du \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
(11)

Now in Eqn. (11), we define a general class of polynomials in the form $P_n(x) = \frac{1}{Ck_0} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-n)_m A_{n,m} \left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)^{2m} du$ to get

$$|H_{k_0}f(x) - P_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
(12)

Finally, with the help of Eqns. (8) and (12) we find

$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| < |(H_{k_0}f(x) - P_n(x)) - (H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x))|$$

$$<|H_{k_0}f(x) - P_n(x)| + |H_{k_0}f(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon.$$
(13)

Hence, f is on interval [a, b] a uniform limit of polynomials. (The Weierstrass Approximation Theorem).

Remark 2.1. In Eqns. (10) and (12) set $A_{n,m} = \frac{(n-m)!}{n!m!}$, then $C = \sqrt{\pi}$. Thus we get the results equivalent to the results given by

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2} \text{ and } P_n(x) = \frac{1}{k_0 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)^{2m} du$$
(14)

due to Schep [4].

Remark 2.2. In Eqn. (10) set $A_{n,m} = Cf\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}$, and replace x by $\sqrt{\frac{x}{(1-x)}}$, then it becomes Bernstein Approximation theorem [2] in the form

$$|f(x) - Q_n(x)| < \in, where, Q_n(x) = x^n P_n(x).$$
(15)

3. Generalization of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

Theorem 3.1. Let $F(x,t) : [a,b] \times (-1,1) \to \mathbb{R}$, $a \le x \le b, |t| < 1, a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a two variable continuous function, then on the rectangle $[a,b] \times (-1,1)$ F(x,t) is a generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) t^n$, where $P_n(x)$ is a polynomial defined in Eqn. (12).

Proof. Since for |t| < 1, we have |1 - t| < 2 then, from Eqn. (10) on closed interval $\left[-\frac{2R}{k_0}, \frac{2R}{k_0}\right]$, we write

$$\left|\frac{1}{k_0}g\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)\frac{1}{(1-t)} - \frac{1}{Ck_0}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \sum_{m=0}^n (-n)_m A_{n,m}\left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)^{2m}\right)\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{8RM}$$
(16)

Then by the Eqn. (16), we may write

$$\left| H_{k_0} f\left(x\right) \frac{1}{(1-t)} - \frac{1}{Ck_0} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-n)_m A_{n,m} \left(\frac{u-x}{k_0} \right)^{2m} du \right) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$
(17)

Again let $|F(x,t)| < \frac{M}{2}$, $\forall (x,t) \in \{[a,b] \times (-1,1) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ as $|f(x)| < M, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\left|F(x,t) - f(x)\frac{1}{(1-t)}\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then making an application of Eqns. (12) and (17), we write

$$\left| H_{k_0} f\left(x\right) \frac{1}{(1-t)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n\left(x\right) t^n \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$
(18)

Therefore, on using Theorem 1 and Eqn. (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(x,t) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) t^n \right| &< \left| F(x,t) - H_{k_0} f(x) \frac{1}{(1-t)} \right| \\ &+ \left| H_{k_0} f(x) \frac{1}{(1-t)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) t^n \right| \\ &< \left| F(x,t) - f(x) \frac{1}{(1-t)} \right| + \left| f(x) \frac{1}{(1-t)} - H_{k_0} f(x) \frac{1}{(1-t)} \right| \\ &+ \left| H_{k_0} f(x) \frac{1}{(1-t)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) t^n \right| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

This result implies that

$$\left|F\left(x,t\right) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n\left(x\right) t^n\right| < \epsilon$$
(19)

Therefore, on the rectangle $[a, b] \times (-1, 1)$, F(x, t) is a generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) t^n$, where $P_n(x)$ is a polynomial defined in Eqn. (12).

4. Applications

The highly important role of the above theorems in deep consideration and the generating function description is pointed out rather precisely in this section.First we use the Theorem 3 and Eqn. (12) to get the following Theorem:

Theorem 4.1. For any sequence B_n $(n \ge 0)$, the polynomial $P_n(x)$ defined by

 $\frac{1}{Ck_0} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-n)_m A_{n,m} \left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)^{2m} du \text{ generates the generating function } F(x,\zeta)$ such that

$$F(x,\zeta) = \frac{(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{Ck_0(1-\beta\zeta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(-\zeta)^n \int_{-R}^{R} \left(\frac{u-x}{k_0}\right)^{2n} f(u) du$$
(20)

Here, it is provided that $A_{n,m} = \frac{(\alpha + (\beta + 1)n)!}{n!(\alpha + \beta n + m)!}B_m$ and $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$ and $\zeta(0) = 0$.

Proof. In definition of the polynomial set $A_{n,m} = \frac{(\alpha + (\beta + 1) n)!}{n! (\alpha + \beta n + m)!} B_m$, then we get

$$P_{n}(x) = \frac{1}{Ck_{0}} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{m} \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha + (\beta + 1) n \\ n - m \end{array} \right) B_{m} \left(\frac{u - x}{k_{0}} \right)^{2m} du \qquad (21)$$

Therefore the polynomial given in Eqn. (21) generates the function

$$F(x,\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x)t^n = \frac{1}{Ck_0} \int_{-R}^{R} f(u) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m \left(\frac{\alpha + (\beta + 1)n}{n - m} \right) B_m \left(\frac{u - x}{k_0} \right)^{2m} du \quad (22)$$

Now, in Eqn. (22) make an appeal to the Theorem due to Brown [1] on providing $\zeta = t(1+\zeta)^{\beta+1}$ and $\zeta(0) = 0$ we find the result (20).

Example 4.1. Define the function $f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & |x| \leq R \\ 0, & |x| \geq R \end{cases}$, $A_{n,m} = \frac{(\alpha + (\beta + 1)n)!}{n!(\alpha + \beta n + m)!}B_m$, then on using the Theorem 4, we get generating function

$$F(x,\zeta) = \frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{C(1-\beta\zeta)} \left[\left(\frac{R+x}{k_0}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \left(-\zeta \left(\frac{R+x}{k_0}\right)^2\right)^n + \left(\frac{R-x}{k_0}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \left(-\zeta \left(\frac{R-x}{k_0}\right)^2\right)^n \right]$$
(23)

Example 4.2. Define the function

 $f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & |x| \leq R \\ 0, & |x| \geq R \end{cases}, A_{n,m} = \frac{(\alpha + (\beta + 1)n)!}{n!(\alpha + \beta n + m)!} \frac{(\alpha_1)_m \dots (\alpha_p)_m}{(\beta_1)_m \dots (\beta_q)_m}, \text{ where for } \alpha \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots, \\ (\alpha)_m = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + m)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \text{ is a Pochhammer symbol. Then on using Theorem 4, we get the follow-} \end{cases}$

ing generating function of classical generalized hypergeometric function ${}_{p}F_{a}$

$$F(x,\zeta) = \frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)^{\alpha+1}}{C(1-\beta\zeta)} \left[\left(\frac{R+x}{k_0}\right)_p F_q \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; \end{array} \right] \left(-\zeta \left(\frac{R+x}{k_0}\right)^2 \right) \right] + \left(\frac{R-x}{k_0}\right)_p F_q \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q; \end{array} \right] \left(-\zeta \left(\frac{R-x}{k_0}\right)^2 \right) \right] \right]$$
(24)

where for the definition of ${}_{p}F_{a}$, we refer [3].

Acknowledgement The second author would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for the financial assistance for this work under project number SR/S4/MS:794/12 and the Centre for Mathematical and Statistical Sciences for the facilities.

References

- [1] Brown, J.W., (1969), New generating functions for classical polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21, pp. 263-268.
- [2] Estep, D., (2002), Practical Analysis in One Variable, Spriger, http://www.springer.com/978-0-387-95484-4
- [3] Rainville, E.D., (1960), Special Functions, Macmillan, New York; Reprinted by Chelsea Publ. Co., Bronx, New York.
- Schep,A.R., (2007), Weierstrass' Proof of The Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, published in the [4]site: www.math.sc.edu/~schep/weierstrass.pdf
- Weierstrass, K., (1885), Uber die analytische Darstellbarkeit sogenannter willk, Urlicher Functionen [5]einer reellen Ver, anderlichen, Verl. D. Kgl. Akad. D. Wiss. Berlin 2, pp. 633-639.



Dr. Hemant Kumar has received Ph. D. Degree in 1993 from Burkatullah University, Bhopal, (M. P.), India. He is an Associate Professor in the Department of Mathematics, D. A-V. P. G. College, Kanpur - 208001 (U. P.), India. He has supervised 07 Ph. Ds in Generalized Special Functions and Their Applications in Different Areas. His research interests include Mathematical Modeling and Special functions, Application of Special functions in Boundary value problems, Fractional Calculus and Generating functions via Lie Groups. He has published more than 56 papers in national and international journals.

He has also presented more than 35 research articles in national and international conferences.



Prof. M. A. Pathan studied at University of Rajasthan, Jaipur and received the Ph.D. degree in 1968. He has served as Chairman of the Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India. He has supervised 13 Ph.Ds in Integral operators, Fractional calculus, Lie theory and Special functions. He is author of 4 books and published more than 170 research papers. He is former President of the Indian Mathematical Society, Sectional President of Indian Science Congress Association and is General Sec-

retary of the Society for Special Functions and their Applications. He is on the Editorial board of more than 20 Journals,/Bulletins and Proceedings of international conferences.