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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant in several classes of analytic functions in the open unit disc, related to shell-like curves and connected with Fibonacci numbers.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions $f$ which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=$ $\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the class of functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and are of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $f$ is subordinate to $F$ in $\mathbb{U}$, written as $f \prec F$, if and only if $f(z)=F(w(z))$ for some analytic function $w$ such that $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec p(z) \quad \text { or } \quad 1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec p(z) \quad \text { or } \quad(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec p(z)
$$

where $p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, then we say that $f$ is starlike or convex or $\alpha-$ convex function, respectively. These functions form known classes denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}, \mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$, respectively. These classes are very important subclasses of the class $\mathcal{S}$ in geometric function theory.

In [14], Sokół introduced the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ of shell-like functions as the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which is described in the following definition:

[^0]Definition 1.1. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ if it satisfies the condition that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \tilde{p}(z)
$$

with

$$
\tilde{p}(z)=\frac{1+\tau^{2} z^{2}}{1-\tau z-\tau^{2} z^{2}}
$$

where $\tau=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2 \approx-0.618$.

Later, Dziok et al. in [1] and [2] defined and introduced the class $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ of convex and $\alpha$-convex functions related to a shell-like curve connected with Fibonacci numbers, respectively. These classes can be given in the following definitions.

Definition 1.2. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ of convex shell-like functions if it satisfies the condition that

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \tilde{p}(z)=\frac{1+\tau^{2} z^{2}}{1-\tau z-\tau^{2} z^{2}},
$$

where $\tau=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2 \approx-0.618$.

Definition 1.3. The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha},(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$ if it satisfies the condition that

$$
\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)+(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \tilde{p}(z)=\frac{1+\tau^{2} z^{2}}{1-\tau z-\tau^{2} z^{2}}
$$

where $\tau=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2 \approx-0.618$.

The class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$ is related to the class $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ only through the function $\tilde{p}$ and $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \neq$ $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ for all $\alpha \neq 1$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}=\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}_{1}$. The function $\tilde{p}$ is not univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, but it is univalent in the disc $|z|<(3-\sqrt{5}) / 2 \approx 0.38$. For example, $\tilde{p}(0)=\tilde{p}(-1 / 2 \tau)=1$ and $\tilde{p}\left(e^{\mp i \arccos (1 / 4)}\right)=\sqrt{5} / 5$, and it may also be noticed that

$$
\frac{1}{|\tau|}=\frac{|\tau|}{1-|\tau|}
$$

which shows that the number $|\tau|$ divides $[0,1]$ such that it fulfils the golden section. The image of the unit circle $|z|=1$ under $\tilde{p}$ is a curve described by the equation given by

$$
(10 x-\sqrt{5}) y^{2}=(\sqrt{5}-2 x)(\sqrt{5} x-1)^{2}
$$

which is translated and revolved trisectrix of Maclaurin. The curve $\tilde{p}\left(r e^{i t}\right)$ is a closed curve without any loops for $0<r \leq r_{0}=(3-\sqrt{5}) / 2 \approx 0.38$. For $r_{0}<r<1$, it has a loop, and for $r=1$, it has a vertical asymptote. Since $\tau$ satisfies the equation $\tau^{2}=1+\tau$, this expression can be used to obtain higher powers $\tau^{n}$ as a linear function of lower powers, which in turn can be decomposed all the way down to a linear combination of $\tau$ and 1. The resulting recurrence relationships yield Fibonacci numbers $u_{n}$ :

$$
\tau^{n}=u_{n} \tau+u_{n-1}
$$

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [10] stated the $s^{t h}$ Hankel determinant for $s \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ as

$$
H_{s}(k)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{k} & a_{k+1} & \ldots & a_{k+s-1}  \tag{2}\\
a_{k+1} & a_{k+2} & \ldots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{k+s-1} & \ldots & \ldots & a_{k+2(s-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $a_{1}=1$.
This determinant has also been considered by several authors. For example, Noor [11] determined the rate of growth of $H_{s}(k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for functions $f$ given by (1) with bounded boundary. Ehrenborg in [3] studied the Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials. The Hankel transform of an integer sequence and some of its properties were discussed by Layman in [8]. Also, several authors considered the case $s=2$. Especially, $H_{2}(1)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$ is known as Fekete-Szegö functional and this functional is generalized to $a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}$ where $\mu$ is some real number [4]. Estimating for an upper bound of $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ is known as the Fekete-Szegö problem. In [13], Raina and Sokót considered Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $\mathcal{S L}$. In 1969, Keogh and Merkes [7] solved this problem for the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. The second Hankel determinant is $H_{2}(2)=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}$. Janteng [5] found the sharp upper bound for $\left|H_{2}(2)\right|$ for univalent functions whose derivative has positive real part. Also, in [6] Janteng et al. obtained the bounds for $\left|H_{2}(2)\right|$ for the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\beta), 0 \leq \beta<1$, denote the class of analytic functions $p$ in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>\beta$. Especially, we will use $\mathcal{P}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}(0)$.

Theorem 1.1. ([2]) The function $\tilde{p}(z)=\frac{1+\tau^{2} z^{2}}{1-\tau z-\tau^{2} z^{2}}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ with $\beta=$ $\sqrt{5} / 10 \approx 0.2236$.

Now we give the following lemmas which will use in proving.
Lemma 1.1. ([12]) Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2, \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|c_{1}\right|=2$, then $p(z) \equiv p_{1}(z) \equiv(1+x z) /(1-x z)$ with $x=c_{1} / 2$. Conversely, if $p(z) \equiv p_{1}(z)$ for some $|x|=1$, then $c_{1}=2 x$. Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \leq 2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|c_{1}\right|<2$, and $\left|c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|=2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}$, then $p(z) \equiv p_{2}(z)$, where

$$
p_{2}(z)=\frac{1+\bar{x} w z+z(w z+x)}{1+\bar{x} w z-z(w z+x)}
$$

and $x=\frac{c_{1}}{2}, w=\frac{2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}}{4-\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}$ and $\left|c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|=2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}$.
Lemma 1.2. ([9]) Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with coefficients $c_{n}$ as above, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right| \leq 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we use ideas and techniques used in geometric function theory. The central problem considered here is the sharp upper bounds for the functional $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ of functions in the classes $\mathcal{S L}, \mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{S \mathcal { L }} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, depicted by the Fibonacci numbers, respectively.

## 2. Main Results

In [13], Raina and Sokół proved the following result:
Theorem 2.1. If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, and $p \prec \tilde{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{1}\right| \leq|\tau| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{2}\right| \leq 3 \tau^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above estimates are sharp.
Now, we prove the following theorem as addition to Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 2.2. If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, and $p \prec \tilde{p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{3}\right| \leq 4|\tau|^{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $p \prec \tilde{p}$, then there exists an analytic function $w$ such that $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and $p(z)=\tilde{p}(w(z))$. Therefore, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{P}(0)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{c_{1} z}{2}+\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \frac{z^{3}}{2}+\cdots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{p}(w(z))= & 1+\tilde{p}_{1}\left\{\frac{c_{1} z}{2}+\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \frac{z^{3}}{2}+\cdots\right\} \\
& +\tilde{p}_{2}\left\{\frac{c_{1} z}{2}+\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \frac{z^{3}}{2}+\cdots\right\}^{2} \\
& +\tilde{p}_{3}\left\{\frac{c_{1} z}{2}+\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \frac{z^{3}}{2}+\cdots\right\}^{3}+\cdots \\
= & 1+\frac{\tilde{p}_{1} c_{1} z}{2}+\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tilde{p}_{1}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{4} \tilde{p}_{2}\right\} z^{2} \\
& +\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \tilde{p}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tilde{p}_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{8} \tilde{p}_{3}\right\} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the coefficients $\tilde{p}_{n}$ of the function $\tilde{p}$, on putting $\tau z=t$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}(z) & =\frac{1+\tau^{2} z^{2}}{1-\tau z-\tau^{2} z^{2}}=\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{t}{1-t-t^{2}} \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-(1-\tau) t}-\frac{1}{1-\tau t}\right) \\
& =\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\tau)^{n}-\tau^{n}}{\sqrt{5}} t^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n} t^{n}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(u_{n-1}+u_{n+1}\right) \tau^{n} z^{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=\frac{(1-\tau)^{n}-\tau^{n}}{\sqrt{5}}, \tau=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the relevant connection of $\tilde{p}$ with the sequence of Fibonacci numbers $u_{n}$, such that $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=1, u_{n+2}=u_{n}+u_{n+1}$ for $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Now using (11), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{p}(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{p}_{n} z^{n} \\
=1+\left(u_{0}+u_{2}\right) \tau z+\left(u_{1}+u_{3}\right) \tau^{2} z^{2}+\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\left(u_{n-3}+u_{n-2}+u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) \tau^{n} z^{n} \\
=1+\tau z+3 \tau^{2} z^{2}+4 \tau^{3} z^{3}+7 \tau^{4} z^{4}+11 \tau^{5} z^{5}+\cdots \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, $\tilde{p}_{1}=\tau, \tilde{p}_{2}=3 \tau^{2}$ and
$\tilde{p}_{n}=\left(u_{n-1}+u_{n+1}\right) \tau^{n}=\left(u_{n-3}+u_{n-2}+u_{n-1}+u_{n}\right) \tau^{n}=\tau \tilde{p}_{n-1}+\tau^{2} \tilde{p}_{n-2} \quad(n=3,4,5, \ldots)$.
If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, then using (10) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=\frac{c_{1}}{2} \tau  \tag{15}\\
p_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tau+\frac{3}{4} c_{1}^{2} \tau^{2} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \tau+\frac{3}{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tau^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{3} \tau^{3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [13], Raina and Sokół proved Theorem 2.1 and obtained sharp estimates for $\left|p_{1}\right|$ and $\left|p_{2}\right|$. Now we shall obtain sharp estimate for $\left|p_{3}\right|$. Taking absolute value of (17) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{3}\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \tau+\frac{3}{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \tau^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{3} \tau^{3}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \tau+\frac{3}{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)(\tau+1)+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{3}(2 \tau+1)\right| \\
& =\left|\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right)+\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{7}{4} c_{1} c_{2}\right\} \tau+\left\{\frac{3 c_{1}}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{2}\right\}\right| \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

It is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tau=\frac{\tau^{n}}{u_{n}}-x_{n}, \quad x_{n}=\frac{u_{n-1}}{u_{n}}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n-1}}{u_{n}}=|\tau| \approx 0.618 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{3}\right|= & \left\lvert\,\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right)+\frac{1}{4} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{7}{4} c_{1} c_{2}\right\} \frac{\tau^{n}}{u_{n}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) x_{n}+\frac{2-x_{n}}{4} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{4-7 x_{n}}{4} c_{1} c_{2}\right\} \right\rvert\, \\
\leq & \left|\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right)+\frac{1}{4} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{7}{4} c_{1} c_{2}\right| \frac{|\tau|^{n}}{u_{n}} \\
& +\left|-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) x_{n}+\frac{2-x_{n}}{4} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{4-7 x_{n}}{4} c_{1} c_{2}\right| \\
\leq & \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left|c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right|+\frac{1}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|\left|c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|+\frac{7}{4}\left|c_{1} c_{2}\right|\right\} \frac{|\tau|^{n}}{u_{n}} \\
& +\left\{\frac{\left|c_{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right|}{2} x_{n}+\frac{2-x_{n}}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|\left|c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right|+\frac{\left|4-7 x_{n}\right|}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|\left|c_{2}\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (19), for sufficiently large $n$ we have $\left|4-7 x_{n}\right|=7 x_{n}-4$. Therefore, from (3), (4) and (5) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{3}\right| & \leq\left\{1+\frac{1}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|\left(2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{7}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|\right\} \frac{|\tau|^{n}}{u_{n}}+\left\{x_{n}+\frac{2-x_{n}}{4}\left|c_{1}\right|\left(2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{7 x_{n}-4}{2}\left|c_{1}\right|\right\} \\
& =\left\{1+4\left|c_{1}\right|-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{3}}{8}\right\} \frac{|\tau|^{n}}{u_{n}}+\left\{x_{n}+\left(3 x_{n}-1\right)\left|c_{1}\right|-\frac{2-x_{n}}{8}\left|c_{1}\right|^{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\max _{y \in[0,2]}\left\{1+4 y-\frac{y^{3}}{8}\right\}=8 \text { at } y=2
$$

since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{1+4\left|c_{1}\right|-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{3}}{8}\right\} \frac{|\tau|^{n}}{u_{n}}=0 .
$$

Furthermore, for sufficiently large $n$ we have

$$
\max _{y \in[0,2]}\left\{x_{n}+\left(3 x_{n}-1\right) y-\frac{2-x_{n}}{8} y^{3}\right\}=8 x_{n}-4 \text { at } y=2
$$

so

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{y \in[0,2]}\left\{x_{n}+\left(3 x_{n}-1\right) y-\frac{2-x_{n}}{8} y^{3}\right\}=8|\tau|-4=4|\tau|^{3} .
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\{1+4\left|c_{1}\right|-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{3}}{8}\right\} \frac{|\tau|^{n}}{u_{n}}+\left\{x_{n}+\left(3 x_{n}-1\right)\left|c_{1}\right|-\frac{2-x_{n}}{8}\left|c_{1}\right|^{3}\right\}\right] \leq 4|\tau|^{3}
$$

which shows that

$$
\left|p_{3}\right| \leq 4|\tau|^{3}
$$

If we take in (9)

$$
h(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+2 z+2 z^{2}+\ldots,
$$

then putting $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=2$ in (17) gives $p_{3}=4 \tau^{3}$ and it shows that (8) is sharp. It completes the proof.

Comjecture 2.1. If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, and $p \prec \tilde{p}$, then

$$
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq\left(u_{n-1}+u_{n+1}\right)|\tau|^{n}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

where $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=1, u_{n+2}=u_{n}+u_{n+1}$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, is the Fibonacci sequence. This bound would be sharp for the function (14).

This conjecture has been just verified for $n=3$ in last Theorem 2.2 , while for $n=1,2$ it was proved in [13].

Theorem 2.3. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ belongs to $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{11}{3} \tau^{4} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For given $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$, define $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, by

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=p(z)
$$

where $p \prec \tilde{p}$. Hence

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=1+a_{2} z+\left(2 a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(3 a_{4}-3 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots=1+p_{1} z+p_{2}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
a_{2}=p_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}}{2}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{p_{1}^{3}+3 p_{1} p_{2}+2 p_{3}}{6}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}=\frac{1}{12}\left(-p_{1}^{4}+4 p_{1} p_{3}-3 p_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{12}\left(-p_{1}^{4}+4 p_{1} p_{3}-3 p_{2}^{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{12}\left(\left|p_{1}\right|^{4}+4\left|p_{1}\right|\left|p_{3}\right|+3\left|p_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{12}\left(|\tau|^{4}+4|\tau| 4|\tau|^{3}+3\left(3 \tau^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{12}\left(|\tau|^{4}+16|\tau|^{4}+27|\tau|^{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{11}{3} \tau^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound in 20 is not sharp. So we give the following conjecture for sharpness.
Comjecture 2.2. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ belongs to $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \tau^{4} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound is sharp.
Theorem 2.4. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ belongs to $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{9} \tau^{4}
$$

Proof. For given $f \in \mathcal{K} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$, define $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, by

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)
$$

where $p \prec \tilde{p}$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Hence
$1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=1+2 a_{2} z+\left(6 a_{3}-4 a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(12 a_{4}-18 a_{2} a_{3}+8 a_{2}^{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots=1+p_{1} z+p_{2}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots$
and

$$
a_{2}=\frac{p_{1}}{2}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}}{6}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{p_{1}^{3}+3 p_{1} p_{2}+2 p_{3}}{24} .
$$

Therefore, using Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{9} \tau^{4}
$$

Theorem 2.5. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ belongs to $\mathcal{S L} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{145 \alpha^{5}+625 \alpha^{4}+1061 \alpha^{3}+867 \alpha^{2}+330 \alpha+44}{12(1+\alpha)^{4}(1+2 \alpha)^{2}(1+3 \alpha)} \tau^{4} .
$$

Proof. For given $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$, define $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots$, by

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=p(z)
$$

where $p \prec \tilde{p}$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=1+(1+\alpha) a_{2} z+\left[2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}-(1+3 \alpha) a_{2}^{2}\right] z^{2} \\
+\left[3(1+3 \alpha) a_{4}-3(1+5 \alpha) a_{2} a_{3}+(1+7 \alpha) a_{2}^{3}\right] z^{3}+\cdots=1+p_{1} z+p_{2}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
a_{2}=\frac{p_{1}}{1+\alpha}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{(1+3 \alpha) p_{1}^{2}+(1+\alpha)^{2} p_{2}}{2(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+2 \alpha)},
$$

$a_{4}=\frac{3(1+3 \alpha)(1+5 \alpha) p_{1}^{3}+3(1+\alpha)^{2}(1+5 \alpha) p_{1} p_{2}-2(1+2 \alpha)(1+7 \alpha) p_{1}^{3}+2(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2 \alpha) p_{3}}{6(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2 \alpha)(1+3 \alpha)}$.
Therefore, using Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{145 \alpha^{5}+625 \alpha^{4}+1061 \alpha^{3}+867 \alpha^{2}+330 \alpha+44}{12(1+\alpha)^{4}(1+2 \alpha)^{2}(1+3 \alpha)} \tau^{4} .
$$

It is clear that if we take $\alpha=0$ and $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain the results of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, respectively.

## 3. Concluding Remarks and Observations

In our present article, we have obtained sharp estimates for second Hankel determinants of several classes of analytic functions related to shell-like curves connected with Fibonacci numbers. Firstly, we have found a sharp bound estimate for third coefficient of a function with positive real part which is subordinate to a shell-like curve and have given a conjecture for general case. Secondly, we have studied the problem of finding the upper bounds associated with the second Hankel determinant $H_{2}(2)$ for these classes. We have also considered several results which are closely related to our investigation in this paper. However, we give some conjectures for sharpness of bounds.
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