TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. V.9, N.4, 2019, pp. 764-772

MIX-POINT PROPERTY IN QUASI-PSEUDOMETRIC SPACES

YAÉ ULRICH GABA,§

ABSTRACT. In this article, we give new results in the startpoint theory for quasi-pseudometric spaces. The results we present provide us with the existence of startpoint (endpoint, fixed point) for multi-valued maps defined on a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. We characterise the existence of startpoint and endpoint by the so-called *mix-point property*. The present results extend known ones in the area.

Keywords: quasi-pseudometric; bi-completeness; startpoint(endpoint); approximate startpoint(endpoint); approximate mix-point property, fixed point.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The theory of startpoint, first introduced in [1], came to extend the idea of fixed points for multi-valued mappings defined on quasi-pseudometric spaces. A series of three papers, see [1, 2, 3] has given a more or less detailed introduction to the subject. the aim of the present article is to continue this study by introducing the idea of *mix-point property*, which is used to characterise the existence of startpoints.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a non empty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *quasi-pseudometric* on X if:

i) $d(x, x) = 0 \quad \forall \ x \in X$,

ii) $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$

Moreover, if $d(x,y) = 0 = d(y,x) \implies x = y$, then d is said to be a T₀-quasi-metric. The latter condition is referred to as the T₀-condition.

Remark 1.1.

- Let d be a quasi-pseudometric on X, then the function d^{-1} defined by $d^{-1}(x, y) = d(y, x)$ whenever $x, y \in X$ is also a quasi-pseudometric on X, called the **conjugate** of d. In the literature, d^{-1} is also denoted d^t or \overline{d} .
- It is easy to verify that the function d^s defined by d^s := d ∨ d⁻¹, i.e. d^s(x, y) = max{d(x, y), d(y, x)} defines a metric on X whenever d is a T₀-quasi-metric on X.

Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques (IMSP), 01 BP 613 Porto-Novo, Bénin.

African Center for Advanced Studies (ACAS), P.O. Box 4477, Yaounde, Cameroon.

e-mail: yaeulrich.gaba@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-8128-9704.

[§] Manuscript received: August 17, 2017; accepted: February 10, 2018.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.9, No.4 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2019; all rights reserved.

Definition 1.2. [1] A T_0 -quasi-metric space (X, d) is called **bicomplete** provided that the metric d^s on X is complete.

Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. We set $\mathscr{P}_0(X) := 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ where 2^X denotes the power set of X. For $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathscr{P}_0(X)$, we define:

 $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a), a \in A\}, \quad d(A, x) = \inf\{d(a, x), a \in A\}.$ We also define the map $H : \mathscr{P}_0(X) \times \mathscr{P}_0(X) \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$H(A,B) = \max\left\{\sup_{a\in A}\,d(a,B),\,\,\sup_{b\in B}\,d(A,b)\right\} \text{ whenever } A,B,\in\mathscr{P}_0(X).$$

Then H is an extended¹ quasi-pseudometric on $\mathscr{P}_0(X)$.

2. Some first results

We briefly recall the idea of a startpoint, as initially intended in [1].

Definition 2.1. (Compare [1]) Let (X, d) be a T_0 -quasi-metric space. Let $F: X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is said to be

- (i) a fixed point of F if $x \in Fx$,
- (ii) a startpoint of F if $H(\{x\}, Fx) = 0$,
- (iii) an endpoint of F if $H(Fx, \{x\}) = 0$.

We recall below the main theorem in the startpoint theory that appeared in [1].

Theorem 2.1. [1, Theorem 29] Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $F: X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \psi(d(x, y)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{1}$$

where $\psi: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is upper semicontinuous, $\psi(t) < t$ for each t > 0 and $\liminf_{t \to \infty} (t - t) = 0$.

 $\psi(t)$ > 0. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of F if and only if F has the approximate mix-point property.

We introduce the following definitions:

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space, $J : X \to X$ be a single valued mapping and $F : X \to 2^X$ be a multi-valued mapping. We say that the mappings J and F have the **approximate startpoint property** (resp. **approximate endpoint property**), if

$$\inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d(Jx,y) = 0 \quad (resp. \ \inf_{x\in X} \sup_{y\in Fx} d(y,Jx) = 0).$$

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric space, $J : X \to X$ be a single valued mapping. We say that J and the set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ have the **approximate mix-point property** if

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = 0.$$

Definition 2.4. (Compare [1]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space, $J : X \to X$ be a single valued mapping. Let $F : X \to 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is said to be

- (i) a J-fixed point of F if $Jx \in Fx$,
- (ii) a startpoint of J and F if $H({Jx}, Fx) = 0$,

¹This means that H can attain the value ∞ as it appears in the definition.

(iii) an endpoint of J and F if $H(Fx, \{Jx\}) = 0$.

The next three results are the first results of this paper. We shall not give any proof, since the proofs follow the same arguments as the proofs in [1].

Theorem 2.2. (Compare[1, Theorem 29]) Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J: X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map and let $F: X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \psi(d(Jx, Jy)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{2}$$

where $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is upper semicontinuous, $\psi(t) < t$ for each t > 0 and $\liminf_{t \to \infty} (t - \psi(t)) > 0$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Theorem 2.3. (Compare[1, Theorem 31]) Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J: X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map and let $F: X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le k(d(Jx, Jy)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{3}$$

where $k \in [0,1)$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Theorem 2.4. (Compare[1, Corollary 30]) Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \psi(d(Jx, Jy)), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{4}$$

where $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is an upper semicontinuous map that satisfies $\psi(t) < t$ for each t > 0 and $\liminf_{t \to \infty} (t - \psi(t)) > 0$. If J and F have the approximate mix-point property then F has a J-fixed point.

Remark 2.1. Observe that if we put $J = I_X$ (identity map on X) in Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 respectively, we obtain [1, Theorem 29, Theorem 31, Corollary 30] respectively.

3. More results

In [1], the proof of Theorem 2.1 basically establishes that the sets

$$C_n = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(x, y) \le \frac{1}{n} \right\} \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\},$$

form a non-increasing sequence of bounded and $\tau(d^s)$ -closed sets. The conclusion follows from the Cantor intersection theorem. We shall use a similar approach in proving the next two results, with the difference that we present simpler and shorter arguments.

We now present the first non trivial generalisation of [1, Theorem 29].

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad for \ each \ x, y \in X,$$
(5)

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $r\alpha < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

766

Proof. It is clear that if J and F admit a point which is both a startpoint and an endpoint, then J and F have the approximate startpoint property and the approximate endpoint property, i.e the approximate mix-point property. Conversely, suppose J and F have the approximate mix-point property. Then

$$C_n = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) \le \frac{1}{n} \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also it is clear that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$. Since the map $x \mapsto \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y)$ is $\tau(d^s)$ -lower semicontinuous (as supremum of $\tau(d^s)$ -continuous mappings),

the C_n is $\tau(d^s)$ -closed.

Next we prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, C_n is bounded. Indeed, for any $x, y \in C_n$,

$$d(Jx, Jy) = H(\{Jx\}, \{Jy\})$$

$$\leq H(\{Jx\}, Fx) + H(Fx, Fy) + H(Fy, \{Jy\})$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} + \alpha d(Jx, Jy).$$

 So

$$d(Jx, Jy) \le \frac{2}{n(1-\alpha)},$$

and since $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$, we have

$$\delta(C_n) \le \frac{2}{rn(1-\alpha)}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(C_n) = 0$. It follows from the Cantor intersection theorem that $\bigcap_{n\in N} C_n = \{x_0\}$.

Thus $H(\{Jx_0\}, Fx_0) = \sup_{y \in Fx_0} d(Jx_0, y) = 0 = \sup_{y \in Fx_0} d(y, Jx_0) = H(Fx_0, \{Jx_0\})$. For uniqueness, if z_0 is an arbitrary startpoint and endpoint of J and F, then $H(\{Jz_0\}, Fz_0) = 0 = H(Fz_0, \{Jz_0\})$, and so $z_0 \in \bigcap_{n \in N} C_n = \{x_0\}$.

We give the following example to illustrate our result.

Example 3.1.

Indeed, consider the T_0 -quasi-metric space (X,d) where $X = \{0,1\}$ and d defined by d(0,1) = 0, d(1,0) = 1 and d(x,x) = 0 for x = 0,1. Note that (X,d) is bicomplete. We define on X the set-valued map $F : X \to 2^X$ by $Fx = \{0\}$ and the single-valued continuous mapping $J : X \to X$ by $Jx = x^2$.

It is clear that for all $x, y \in X$, H(Fx, Fy) = 0. For x = 0, y = 1, d(x, y) = d(0, 1) = 0, and d(Jx, Jy) = d(0, 1) = 0. For x = 1, y = 0, d(x, y) = d(1, 0) = 1, and d(Jx, Jy) = d(1, 0) = 1. So if we set $r = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, we have that $r\alpha = \frac{1}{6} < 1$ and

$$rd(x,y) \le d(Jx,Jy)$$

Moreover, the condition (5) is satisfied. Since $H({J0}, F0) = 0 = H(F0, {J0})$, then 0 is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F.

Observe also that:

-for $x = 0, Fx = \{0\}, \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = d^s(0, 0) = 0,$

-for $x = 1, Fx = \{0\}, \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = d^s(1, 0) = 0$, and hence

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = 0$$

i.e. J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $r\alpha < 1$. If J and F have the approximate mix-point property then F has a J-fixed point.

Proof.

From Theorem 3.1, we conclude that there exists $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint for J and F, i.e $H(\{Jx_0\}, Fx_0) = 0 = H(Fx_0, \{Jx_0\})$. The T_0 -condition therefore guarantees the desired result.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad for \ each \ x, y \in X, \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Proof. Once again, only one implication will be of interest to us, since the other one is trivial. So suppose J and F have the approximate mix-point property. Then we already know that the sets

$$C_n = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) \le \frac{1}{n} \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are $\tau(d^s)$ -closed and that $C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$.

Next we prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, C_n is bounded. Indeed, for any $x, y \in C_n$,

$$d(Jx, Jy) = H(\{Jx\}, \{Jy\})$$

$$\leq H(\{Jx\}, Fx) + H(Fx, Fy) + H(Fy, \{Jy\})$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} + \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n}(2 + 2\alpha).$$

So

$$d(Jx, Jy) \le \frac{1}{n}(2+2\alpha),$$

and since $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$, we have

$$\delta(C_n) \le \frac{1}{rn}(2+2\alpha).$$

768

Therefore $\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta(C_n) = 0$. It follows from the Cantor intersection theorem that $\bigcap_{n \in N} C_n = \sum_{n \in N} \sum$ $\{x_0\}$. Thus $H(\{Jx_0\}, Fx_0) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{T}} d(Jx_0, y) = 0 = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{T}} d(y, Jx_0) = H(Fx_0, \{Jx_0\})$. For $y \in Fx_0$ $y \in Fx_0$ uniqueness, if z_0 is an arbitrary startpoint and endpoint of J and F, then $H(\{Jz_0\}, Fz_0) =$ $0 = H(Fz_0, \{Jz_0\})$, and so $z_0 \in \bigcap_{n \in N} C_n = \{x_0\}$.

Example 3.2. Indeed, consider the T_0 -quasi-metric space (X, d) where $X = \{0, 1\}$ and d defined by d(0,1) = 0, d(1,0) = 1 and d(x,x) = 0 for x = 0,1. Note that (X,d)is bicomplete. We define on X the set-valued map $F: X \to 2^X$ by $Fx = \{0\}$ and the single valued continuous map $J: X \to X$ by $Jx = x^3$. It is clear that for all $x, y \in$ X, H(Fx, Fy) = 0.

For x = 0, y = 1, d(x, y) = d(0, 1) = 0, d(Jx, Jy) = d(0, 1) = 0.

For x = 1, y = 0, d(x, y) = d(1, 0) = 1, d(Jx, Jy) = d(1, 0) = 1. So if we set $r = \frac{1}{2}$, we have that

 $rd(x,y) \le d(Jx,Jy).$

So if we set $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, we have that $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Since $H(\{J0\}, F0) = 0 = H(F0, \{J0\})$, then 0 is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F.

For $x = 0, y = 1, \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)] = \frac{1}{3}$ and for $x = 1, y = 0, \alpha[d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)] = \frac{1}{3}$, so the condition (7) is satisfied. Observe also that

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) = 0.$$

i.e. J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J: X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$ for some constant r > 0and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F: X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{8}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. If J and F have the approximate mix-point property then F has a J-fixed point.

Using the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, one can establish the following results:

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J: X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$ for some constant r > 0and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F: X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fy) + d(Fx, Jy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{9}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with $2r\alpha < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Assume $J: X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x,y) \leq d(Jx,Jy)$ for some constant r > 0and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F: X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy) + Ld(Fx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $L \ge 0$ such that $r(\alpha + L) < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

4. Concluding Remarks

All the above results remain true if instead we consider a quasi-pseudometric type space (X, d, b) (see [4]). On the other side, the sets C_n considered in the investigation can be made more general in the sense that we could consider sets of the form C_{ε} where $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence we write

$$C_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{y \in Fx} d^s(Jx, y) \leq \varepsilon \right\}, \quad \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, the Theorem 3.1 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that $r\alpha b^2 < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

In proving this theorem, the following lemma the key:

Lemma 4.1. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 such that $r\alpha b^2 < 1$ and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy), \quad for \ each \ x, y \in X,$$
(12)

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\delta(C_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon(1+b)}{r(1-\alpha^2 b)}, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Similarly, the Theorem 3.2 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad for \ each \ x, y \in X,$$
(13)

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

The key lemma in this case is

Lemma 4.2. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fx) + d(Jy, Fy)], \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{14}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Then

$$\delta(C_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon}{r}(1+b+2\alpha b), \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

In a similar manner, the Theorem 3.3 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fy) + d(Fx, Jy)], \quad for \ each \ x, y \in X,$$
(15)

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with $2b^2r\alpha < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

The key lemma is therefore:

Lemma 4.3. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha [d(Jx, Fy) + d(Fx, Jy)], \quad for \ each \ x, y \in X, \tag{16}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with $2b^2r\alpha < 1$. Then

$$\delta(C_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon}{r(1-2b^2\alpha)}(1+b+2\alpha b), \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Finally the Theorem 3.4 could be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 4.4. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy) + Ld(Fx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $L \ge 0$ such that $rb^2(\alpha + bL) < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x_0 \in X$ which is both a startpoint and an endpoint of J and F if and only if J and F have the approximate mix-point property.

The proof will be done with the use of the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4. Let (X, d, b) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric type space. Assume $J : X \to X$ is a continuous single-valued map such that $rd(x, y) \leq d(Jx, Jy)$ for some constant r > 0 and for each $x, y \in X$. Let $F : X \to CB(X)$ be a set-valued map that satisfies

$$H(Fx, Fy) \le \alpha d(Jx, Jy) + Ld(Fx, Jy), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X, \tag{18}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $L \ge 0$ such that $rb^2(\alpha + bL) < 1$. Then

$$\delta(C_{\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{b\varepsilon(1+b+Lb^2)}{r(1-b^2(\alpha+bL))}, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0$$

References

- [1] Y. U. Gaba, Startpoints and (α, γ) -contractions in quasi-pseudometric spaces, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 709253, 8 pages.
- [2] Y. U. Gaba, Advances in Startpoint Theory for quasi-pseudometric spaces, Bull. of the Allahabad Math. Soc., In press.
- [3] Y. U. Gaba, New Results in the Startpoint Theory for Quasi-Pseudometric Spaces, Journal of Operators. Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 741818, 5 pages.
- [4] E. F. Kazeem, C. A. Agyingi and Y. U. Gaba, On Quasi-Pseudometric Type Spaces, Chinese Journal of Mathematics, Volume (2014)2014, Article ID 198685, 7 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/198685.
- [5] H.-P. Künzi, An introduction to quasi-uniform spaces, Contemp. Math. 486 (2009), 239–304.
- [6] H.-P. Künzi and C. Ryser, The Bourbaki quasi-uniformity, Topology Proceedings, vol. 20, pp 161-183, 1995.



Yaé Ulrich Gaba completed his doctoral studies at the University of Cape Town (UCT) in South Africa and is currently an Assistant Professor at Institut de Mathematiques et de Sciences Physiques (IMSP) in Benin Rep. His research interests lie in two main areas, firstly asymmetric topology with applications in Functional Analysis, computability analysis and also some Fixed Point Theory, and secondly Stochastic Analysis with applications in finance. He also serves the scientific community as a reviewer.