# COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR NEW SUBCLASSES OF MEROMORPHIC BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH LINEAR OPERATOR 

ADNAN GHAZY ALAMOUSH ${ }^{1}$, §


#### Abstract

In this paper, we define a new differential linear operator of meromorphic bi-univalent functions class $\Sigma^{\prime}$, and obtain the estimates for the coefficients $\left|b_{0}\right|$ and $\left|b_{1}\right|$. Further we pointed out several new or known consequences of our results.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of the functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and satisfy the normalization condition $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions $f$ in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Some of the important and well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class $\mathcal{S}$ include (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ of convex functions of order $\alpha$

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad \text { and } \quad \Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

respectively. The well-known Koebe one-quarter theorem asserts that the function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse, defined on disc $\mathbb{U}_{\rho}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<\rho\},\left(\rho \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$. Thus, the inverse of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is a univalent analytic function on the disc $\mathbb{U}$. It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, defined by $f^{-1}(f(z))=z,(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and

$$
f^{-1} f(w)=w,\left(|w|<r_{0} f(z) ; r_{0} f(z) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{2}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Also, we say that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, these classes are denoted by $\Sigma$. Earlier, Brannan and Taha [12] introduced certain subclasses of bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$; namely bi-starlike functions $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ and bi-convex function $K_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ of order $(\alpha)$ corresponding to the function classes $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ respectively.

Many authors investigated bounds for various subclasses bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ (see for example ([1],[2],[3],[4],[6],[7],[8],[10],[17],[21]) and obtained non-sharp coefficient estimates on the first two coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ of (1). A function $f$ is bi-starlike of Ma-Minda type or bi-convex of Ma-Minda type if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are respectively Ma-Minda starlike or convex. These classes are denoted respectively by $S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\phi)$ and $K_{\Sigma}(\phi)$ where $\phi(z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\ldots,\left(B_{1}>0, z \in \mathbb{U}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ denote the family of all meromorphic univalent functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z+b_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n}}{z^{n}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on the domain $\mathbb{U}^{*}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $1<|z|<\infty\}$. Since $h \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ is univalent, it has an inverse $h^{-1}=G(z)$ that satisfy $h^{-1}(h(z))=z,\left(z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right)$ and

$$
h^{-1} h(w)=w,(M<|w|<\infty, \quad M>0)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(w)=h^{-1}(w)=w+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}}{w^{n}}(M<|w|<\infty, \quad M>0) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in some neighborhood of $w=\infty$. A simple calculation shows that the function $G$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(w)=h^{-1}(w)=w-b_{0}-\frac{b_{1}}{w}-\frac{b_{2}+b_{0} b_{1}}{w^{2}}-\frac{b_{3}+2 b_{0} b_{2}+b_{0}^{2} b_{1}+b_{1}^{2}}{w^{3}}+\ldots . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogous to the bi-univalent analytic functions, a function $h \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ is said to be meromorphic bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}^{*}$ if $h^{-1} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$. We denote by $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}$ the class of all meromorphic bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}^{*}$ given by (4). Estimates on the coefficients of meromorphic univalent functions were investigated in the literature. For $h \in \Sigma_{0}^{\prime}$, it follows from the area theorem that $\left|b_{1}\right| \leq 1$. Schiffer [18] obtained the sharp estimates $\left|b_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{3}$ for $h \in \Sigma_{0}^{\prime}$. Also, Duren [13] gave an elementary proof of the inequality $\left|b_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{n+1}$ for $h \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ with $b_{k}=0$ for $1 \leq k<\frac{n}{2}$. For the coefficients of the inverse of meromorphic univalent functions, Springer [20] used variational methods to prove that

$$
\left|B_{3}+\frac{1}{2} B_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|B_{3}\right| \leq 1
$$

and conjectured that

$$
\left|B_{2 n-1}\right| \leq \frac{(2 n-2)!}{n!(n-1)!} \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Furthermore, Kubota [16] has proved that the Springer conjecture is true for $n=3,4,5$ by an elementary application of Grunsky's inequalities and subsequently, for $G \in \Sigma_{0}^{\prime}$ Schober [19] obtained sharp bounds for the coefficients $B_{2 n-1}, 1 \leq n \leq 7$. Recently, Kapoor and Mishra [15] found the coefficient estimates for a class consisting of inverses of meromorphic starlike univalent functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}^{*}$.

A function $h$ in the class $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is said to be meromorphic bi-univalent starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ if it satisfies the following inequalities

$$
h \in \Sigma_{b}^{\prime}, \quad \Re\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right\}>\alpha\left(z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Re\left\{\frac{w G^{\prime}(w)}{G(w)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad\left(w \in U^{*}\right)
$$

where $G(w)=h^{-1}(w)$ is the inverse of $h(z)$ whose series expansion is given by (6).
We denote by $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ the class of all meromorphic bi-univalent starlike functions of order $\alpha$. Similarly, a function $h$ in the class $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is said to be meromorphic bi-univalent strongly starlike of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ if it satisfies the following conditions

$$
h \in \Sigma_{b}^{\prime}, \quad\left|\arg \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\left(z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Re\left|\frac{w G^{\prime}(w)}{G^{\prime}(w)}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad\left(w \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right)
$$

where $G(w)$ is given by (6). We denote by $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}$ the class of all meromorphic bi-univalent strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$.

For functions $h \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ in the form (4), we define the following new linear operator $D_{\lambda, \mu}^{0} h(z)=h(z)$, and when $\lambda=\mu$, also we have $D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)=h(z), \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\lambda, \mu}^{1} h(z)=D_{\lambda, \mu} h(z)=(1-(\lambda-\mu)) h(z)+(\lambda-\mu) z h^{\prime}(z) \\
& \quad=z+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[1-(\lambda-\mu)(n-1)] \frac{b_{n}}{z^{n}}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
D_{\lambda, \mu}^{2} h(z)=D\left[D_{\lambda, \mu} h(z)\right]=z+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[1-(\lambda-\mu)(n-1)]^{2} \frac{b_{n}}{z^{n}}
$$

hence, it can be easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)=D\left[D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k-1} h(z)\right]=z+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}[1-(\lambda-\mu)(n-1)]^{k} \frac{b_{n}}{z^{n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2,3, \cdots\}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{n+1}$.

Remark 1.1. Note that if $\mu=0$, we get the linear operator which is defined by Aziz and Juma [11].

Motivated by the earlier work of ( see ([11], [14])), we define the following new subclasses $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ of the function class $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f$ given by (1.4) is said to be in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in \Sigma_{b}^{\prime}, \Re\left\{\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right\}>\alpha\left(\beta \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{n+1}, z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{w\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}\right\}>\alpha\left(\beta \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{n+1}, w \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, where $G$ is given by (6).

Definition 1.2. A function $f$ given by (4) is said to be in the class $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in \Sigma_{b}^{\prime}, \quad\left|\arg \left\{\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}\right\}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\left(\beta \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{n+1}, z \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left\{\frac{w\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}\right\}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\left(\beta \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda<\frac{1}{n+1}, w \in \mathbb{U}^{*}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$, where $G$ is given by (6).
Remark 1.2. We note that, for $k=0, \beta=0$, the classes $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ reduce to the classes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(0, \lambda, \mu ; 0)=\Sigma_{b}^{\prime} \\
& \widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(0, \lambda, \mu ; 0)=\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, introduced and studied by Halim et al. [14].
In the present investigation, a new subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions are introduced and estimates for the coefficients $\left|b_{0}\right|$ and $\left|b_{1}\right|$ of functions in these subclasses are obtained. Several new consequences of the results are also pointed out.

In order to derive our main results, we shall need the following lemma.
Lemma 1.1. ([10]) If $\phi \in P$, the class of all functions with $\Re(\phi(z))>0(z \in \mathbb{U})$, then

$$
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2, \text { for each } k
$$

where

$$
\phi(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \quad \text { for } \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

2. Coefficient Bounds for the Function Classes $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$ And $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$

We begin this section by obtaining the coefficients $\left|b_{0}\right|$ and $\left|b_{1}\right|$ for functions in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let the function $h(z)$ given by (4) be in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} \sqrt{\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(1-\beta)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\beta)^{2}}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (8) and (9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}=\alpha+(1-\alpha) p(z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}=\alpha+(1-\alpha) q(w) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ are functions with positive real part in $\mathbb{U}^{*}$ and have the following forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{p_{1}}{z}+\frac{p_{2}}{z^{2}}+\ldots \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(w)=1+\frac{q_{1}}{w}+\frac{q_{2}}{w^{2}}+\ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Now, equating coefficients in (14) and (15), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\beta-1)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k} b_{0}=(1-\alpha) p_{1}  \tag{18}\\
(\beta-2)\left[\left(1-2(\lambda-\mu)^{k}\right) b_{1}+\frac{(\beta-1)\left[1-(\lambda-\mu)^{2 k}\right]}{2} b_{0}^{2}\right]=(1-\alpha) p_{2}  \tag{19}\\
(1-\beta)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k} b_{0}=(1-\alpha) q_{1}  \tag{20}\\
(2-\beta)\left[\left(1-2(\lambda-\mu)^{k}\right) b_{1}-\frac{(\beta-1)\left[1-(\lambda-\mu)^{2 k}\right]}{2} b_{0}^{2}\right]=(1-\alpha) q_{2} \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (18) and (20), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=-q_{1}  \tag{22}\\
b_{0}^{2}=\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(1-\beta)^{2}[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k}} \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\Re(p(z))>0$ in $\mathbb{U}^{*}$, the function $p\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \in P$ and hence the coefficients $p_{n}$ and similarly the coefficients $q_{n}$ of the function $q$ satisfy the inequality in Lemma 1.1, we get

$$
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}}
$$

This gives the bound on $\left|b_{0}\right|$ as asserted in (12).
Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|b_{1}\right|$, we use (19) and (20), which yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\beta)^{2}(\beta-2)^{2}[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{4 k} b_{0}^{4}-4(1-\alpha)^{2} p_{2} q_{2}=4(2-\beta)^{2}[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k} b_{1}^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{2}=\frac{(1-\beta)^{2}[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{4 k} b_{0}^{4}}{4[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k}}-\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} p_{2} q_{2}}{(2-\beta)^{2}[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the estimate obtained (24), and applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients $p_{2}$ and $q_{2}$, we readily get

$$
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} \sqrt{\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(1-\beta)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\beta)^{2}}}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
For $\lambda=\mu$ or $k=0$, we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.1. Let the function $h(z)$ given by (4) be in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(\lambda, \mu ; \beta)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq 2(1-\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(1-\beta)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\beta)^{2}}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\beta=0$ in Corollary 2.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2. (see [11]) Let the function $h(z)$ given by (4) be in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq 2(1-\alpha) . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq(1-\alpha) \sqrt{4 \alpha^{2}-8 \alpha+5} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we estimate the coefficients $\left|b_{0}\right|$ and $\left|b_{1}\right|$ for functions in the class $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$
Theorem 2.2. Let the function $h(z)$ given by (4) be in the class $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{b}^{\prime}(k, \lambda, \mu ; \beta)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{(\beta-1)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1-\beta)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\beta)^{2}}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} h(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}=[p(z)]^{\alpha} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w\left(D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}\left(\frac{D_{\lambda, \mu}^{k} G(w)}{w}\right)^{\beta}=[q(w)]^{\alpha} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ have the forms (14) and (15), respectively. Now, equating coefficients in (32) and (33), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\beta-1)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k} b_{0}=\alpha p_{1}  \tag{34}\\
(\beta-2)\left[\left(1-2(\lambda-\mu)^{k}\right) b_{1}+\frac{(\beta-1)\left[1-(\lambda-\mu)^{2 k}\right]}{2} b_{0}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha(\alpha-1) p_{1}^{2}+2 \alpha p_{2}\right],  \tag{35}\\
(1-\beta)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k} b_{0}=\alpha q_{1},  \tag{36}\\
(2-\beta)\left[\left(1-2(\lambda-\mu)^{k}\right) b_{1}-\frac{(\beta-1)\left[1-(\lambda-\mu)^{2 k}\right]}{2} b_{0}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha(\alpha-1) q_{1}^{2}+2 \alpha q_{2}\right] . \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (34) and (36), we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=-q_{1},  \tag{38}\\
b_{0}^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)}{2(1-\beta)^{2}[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k}} . \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

As discussed in the proof of Theorem 2.1, applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients $p_{2}$ and $q_{2}$, we immediately have

$$
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{(1-\beta)[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} .
$$

This gives the bound on $\left|b_{0}\right|$ as asserted in (30).
Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|b_{1}\right|$, by using (35) and (37), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2(2-\beta)^{2}[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k} b_{1}^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2}(\beta-2)^{2}[1-(\lambda-\mu)]^{4 k} \frac{b_{0}^{4}}{2} \\
& =\frac{\alpha^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}\left(p_{1}^{4}+q_{1}^{4}\right)}{4}+\alpha^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}(\alpha-1)\left(p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+q_{1}^{2} q_{2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (39) and (40) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2(2-\beta)^{2}[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k} b_{1}^{2} & =\frac{\alpha^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}\left(p_{1}^{4}+q_{1}^{4}\right)}{4}+\alpha^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}(\alpha-1)\left(p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+q_{1}^{2} q_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{(1-\beta)^{2}(\beta-2)^{2} \alpha^{4}}{8(1-\beta)^{2}[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{2 k}}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, we readily get

$$
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{[1-2(\lambda-\mu)]^{k}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1-\beta)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\beta)^{2}}}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
For $\lambda=\mu$ or $k=0$, we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.2.
Corollary 2.3. Let the function $h(z)$ given by (4) be in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}(\lambda, \mu ; \beta)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{(1-\beta)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq 2 \alpha^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(1-\beta)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(2-\beta)^{2}}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\beta=0$ in corollary 2.3, we have the following result.
Corollary 2.4. (see [14]) Let the function $h(z)$ given by (1.4) be in the class $\Sigma_{b}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{0}\right| \leq 2 \alpha \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{5} \alpha^{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, if $\beta=0$ and $\mu=0$ in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have the same results due to Aziz and Juma [11].

## 3. Conclusion

The results here related to meromorphic functions of bi-univalent type. The function is defined by a linear operator and new classes are introduced. Initial coefficient bounds are obtained. These similar results can be obtained for classes defined in ([5],[9]) and other new properties can also be studied.

## References

[1] Alamoush A. G., (2019) Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions involving the Poisson distribution associated with Horadam polynomials, Malaya Jour. Mat. 7, 618-624.
[2] Alamoush A. G., (2019) Coefficient estimates for a new subclasses of lambda-pseudo bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetrical points associated with the Horadam Polynomials, Turk. Jour. Math. 3, 2865-2875.
[3] Alamoush A. G., (2019) Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of bi-bazilevic functions associated with chebyshev polynomials, Acta Univ. Apul. (60), 53-59.
[4] Alamoush A. G., (2020) On a subclass of bi-univalent functions associated to Horadam polynomials, Int. J. Open Pro. Comp. Anal. 12(1), 58-65.
[5] Alamoush A. G., (2016) Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a new subclass of meromorphic bi-univalent functions, Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 2016:3.
[6] Alamoush A. G. and Darus M., (2014) Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions using Hadamard product, Acta univ. apul. 3, 153-161.
[7] Alamoush A. G. and Darus M., (2014) On coefficient estimates for new generalized subclasses of bi-univalent functions, AIP Conf. Proc. 1614, 844, 2014.
[8] Alamoush A. G. and Darus M., (2014) Coefficients estimates for bi-univalent of fox-wright functions, Far East Jour. Math. Sci. 89, 249-262.
[9] Amourah A., (2019) Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a class of analytic bi-univalent functions, AIP Conference Proceedings 2096.
[10] Amourah A., (2019) Initial bounds for analytic and bi-univalent functions by means of (p, q)-Chebyshev polynomials defined by differential operator, Gen. Lett. Math. 7(2), 45-51.
[11] Aziz F. S., and Juma A. R., (2014) Estimation coefficients for subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions associated with linear operator, TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. 4 (1), 39-44.
[12] Brannan D. A. and Taha T. S., (1986) On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Studia Univ. BabeşBolyai Math. 31(2), 70-77.
[13] Duren P. L., (1971) Coefficients of meromorphic schlicht functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28, 169172.
[14] Halim S. A., Hamidi S. G. and Ravichandran V., (2011) Coefficient estimates for meromorphic biunivalent functions, arXiv:1108.4089v1 [math.CV]. 2011.
[15] Kapoor G. P. and Mishra A. K., (2001) Coefficient estimates for inverses of starlike functions of positive order, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. 329 (2), 922-934.
[16] Kubota Y., (1977) Coefficients of meromorphic univalent functions, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 28 (2), 253-261.
[17] Murugusundaramoorthy G. S., Selvaraj C. and Babu O. S., (2014) Coefficient estimates of Ma-Minda type bi-Bazilevič functions of complex order involving Srivastava-Attiya opetator, Elec. Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. 2(2), 31-41.
[18] Schiffer M., (1938) Sur un probléme déxtrémum de la représentation conforme, Bull. Soc. Math. Fran. 66, 48-55.
[19] Schober G., (1977) Coefficients of inverses of meromorphic univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. $67(1), 111-116$.
[20] Springer G., (1951) The coefficient problem for schlicht mappings of the exterior of the unit circle, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 70, 421-450.
[21] Srivastava H. M., Mishra A. K. and Gochhayat P., (2010) Certain subclasses of analytic and biunivalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23, 1188-1192.


Adnan Ghazy Alamoush received his Ph.D. degree from UKM University, Malaysia. He is an assistant professor in the Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia. His area of interest include geometric function theory.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia.
    e-mail:adnan_omoush@yahoo.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3687-9195.
    § Manuscript received: March 27, 2020; accepted: June 10, 2020 TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol. 12 No. 1 (C) Işık University, Department of Mathematics 2022; all rights reserved.

