

SPECTRAL INCLUSION BETWEEN A REGULARIZED QUASI-SEMIGROUPS AND THEIR GENERATORS

A. TAJMOUATI^{1*}, Y. ZAHOUAN², §

ABSTRACT. The notion of a regularized quasi-semigroups (or C -quasi-semigroups) of a bounded linear operators, as a generalization of C_0 -quasi-semigroups of a bounded linear operators, was introduced by M. Janfada in 2010. In this paper, we will show some results concerning a regularized quasi-semigroups and we are going to show a spectral inclusion of a different spectra of a C -quasi-semigroups of a bounded linear operators on a Banach space and their infinitesimal generators.

Keywords: C -quasi-semigroup, spectrum, residual, essential, ascent.

AMS Subject Classification: 47A10, 47D06.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the time-independent abstract Cauchy problems :

$$x'(t) = Ax(t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

in a Banach space X and A an operator defined on the dense domain $D(A) \subset X$. If A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators of X , then the theory of semigroups is a powerful tool for solving (1) (see [8] and [11]). In 1979, R. Derndinger and R. Nagel [6] showed that if $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a C_0 -semi-group and A its generator, then $e^{t\sigma(A)} \subseteq \sigma(T(t)) \setminus \{0\}$, $e^{t\sigma_p(A)} \subseteq \sigma_p(T(t)) \setminus \{0\}$ et $e^{t\sigma_r(A)} \subseteq \sigma_r(T(t)) \setminus \{0\}$, in 2001, A. El Koutri and A. Taoudi in [7] proved that $e^{t\sigma_K(A)} \subseteq \sigma_K(T(t)) \setminus \{0\}$ and Recently, in [13] and [14], A. Tajmouati, F. Alhomaïdi and H. Boua are studied different spectra of a C_0 -Semi-group and its generator.

In 1953, Tosio Kato [10] considered the following evolution equation:

$$x'(t) = A(s+t)x(t) + f(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x(0) = x_0 \tag{2}$$

¹ Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar Al Mahraz, Department of Mathematics, Laboratory of Sciences, Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Fez, Morocco. e-mail: abdelaziz.tajmouati@usmba.ac.ma; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1572-1241>.

* Corresponding author.

² Ibn Tofail University, Higher School of Education and formation, Department of Mathematics, Laboratory of Analysis, Geometry and Applications, Kenitra, Morocco. e-mail: zahouanyouness1@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0341-9440>.

§ Manuscript received: October 21, 2020; accepted: May 18, 2021.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.13, No.1 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2023; all rights reserved.

and the associated homogeneous equation

$$x'(t) = A(s + t)x(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x(0) = x_0 \tag{3}$$

with $x(\cdot)$ is an unknown function of the real interval $[0, T]$ into a Banach space X , and $A(s)$ is a closed operator given on X of domain $D(A(s)) = \mathcal{D}$ independent of s and dense in X . The solution of (2) is formally given by $x(t) = R(s; t)x_0$. A two parameter family $\{R(t; s)\}_{t; s \geq 0}$ on X is called a C_0 -quasi-semigroup and $A(s)$ its generator.

In [15], [16] and [17] we obtained a spectral inclusion between a C_0 -Quasi-semigroup and its generator for different party of ordinary spectrum.

Now, we consider the time-dependent abstract Cauchy problems

$$x'(t) = A(s + t)x(t), \quad t, s \geq 0, \quad x(0) = Cx_0 \tag{4}$$

Here $x(\cdot)$ is an unknown function from the real interval $[0, T]$ into a Banach space X , C is an injective bounded linear operator on a Banach space X and $A(s)$ is a given, closed, linear operator in X with domain $\mathcal{D}(A(s)) = \mathcal{D}$, independent of s and dense in X . The solution of (4) is formally given by $x(t) = K(s, t)x_0$, a two parameter family $\{K(s, t)\}_{s, t \geq 0}$ on X is called a C -quasi-semigroups. Then, we have the existence of a solution for the Cauchy problem without any qualitative information on it. A classical approach to information on the solution $x(t)$ consists in directly studying the spectrum of the quasi-semigroup $K(s, t)$. In many applications, we only have the explicit expression of the generator $A(s)$. Hence, the need to have a relation between the spectrum of the quasi-semigroup $K(s, t)$ and the spectrum of its generator $A(s)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space X and T will be a closed linear operator on X with domain $D(T)$. We denote by $Rg(T)$, $Rg^\infty(T) := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} Rg(T^n)$, $N(T)$, $\rho(T)$ and $\sigma(T)$ respectively the range, the hyper range, the kernel, the resolvent and the spectrum of T , where

$$\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not bijective}\}.$$

The function resolvent of T is defined for all $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ by $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, T) = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}$. For a closed operator T we define the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum and the residual spectrum by

- $\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not injective}\}$.
- $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not injective or } Rg(\lambda I - T) \text{ is not closed in } X\}$.
- $\sigma_r(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : Rg(\lambda I - T) \text{ is not dense in } X\}$.

From [1, p.79], we have $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D(T)$, such that $\|x_n\| = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - \lambda I)x_n\| = 0$.

The ascent and descent of an operator T are defined respectively by,

$$a(T) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : N(T^k) = N(T^{k+1})\}; \quad d(T) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : Rg(T^k) = Rg(T^{k+1})\}.$$

with the convention $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$.

The ascent spectrum and descent spectrum are defined respectively by,

$$\sigma_a(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a(\lambda I - T) = \infty\}, \quad \sigma_d(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : d(\lambda I - T) = \infty\}.$$

A closed operator T is called Fredholm if $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$ and $\beta(T) = \text{co dim } Rg(T)$ are finite. The essential spectrum is defined by,

$$\sigma_e(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \text{ is not Fredholm}\}.$$

Similarly, we can define the spectra $\sigma(C, T)$, $\sigma_p(C, T)$, $\sigma_{ap}(C, T)$, $\sigma_e(C, T)$, $\sigma_r(C, T)$, $\sigma_a(C, T)$ and $\sigma_d(C, T)$, replacing the identity operator I by an injective operator $C \in B(X)$.

Let $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be injective. The family $(S(t))_{t \geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a C -semigroup [5] if it has the following properties:

- (1) $S(0) = C$,
- (2) $S(t)S(s) = CS(t+s)$,
- (3) The map $t \rightarrow S(t)x$ from $[0, +\infty[$ into X is continuous for all $x \in X$.

In this case, its generator A is defined by

$$D(A) = \{x \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S(t)x - Cx}{t} \text{ exists and it's in } Rg(C)\},$$

with

$$Ax = C^{-1} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S(t)x - Cx}{t} \right], \text{ for all } x \in D(A).$$

In particular, the C_0 -semigroups are the I -semigroups where I is the identity operator.

The theory of quasi-semigroups of bounded linear operators, as a generalization of semigroups of operators, was introduced by H. Leiva and D. Barcenas [2], [3], [4] and recently Sutrima et al. [12], have shown some relations between a C_0 -quasi-semigroup and its generator related to the time-dependent evolution equation.

A two parameter commutative family $\{R(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called a strongly continuous quasi-semigroup (or C_0 -quasi-semigroup) of operators [2] if for every $t, s, r \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, we have

- (1) $R(t, 0) = I$, the identity operator on X ,
- (2) $R(t, s+r) = R(t+r, s)R(t, r)$,
- (3) $\lim_{(t,s) \rightarrow (t_0, s_0)} \|R(t, s)x - R(t_0, s_0)x\| = 0, \quad x \in X$,
- (4) there exists a continuous increasing mapping $M : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ such that,

$$\|R(t, s)\| \leq M(t+s).$$

For a C_0 -quasi-semigroup $\{R(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X , let \mathcal{D} be the set of all $x \in X$ for which the following limits exist,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{R(0, s)x - x}{s} \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{R(t, s)x - x}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{R(t-s, s)x - x}{s}, \quad t > 0.$$

In this case, for $t \geq 0$, we define an operator $A(t)$ on \mathcal{D} as

$$A(t)x = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{R(t, s)x - x}{s}.$$

The family $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called the infinitesimal generator of the C_0 -quasi-semigroups $\{R(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$. The generator $A(t)$ of a C_0 -quasi-semigroup is not necessary closed or densely defined [12, Examples 2.3 and 3.3].

In [9] M. Janfada introduced the notion of regularized quasi-semigroup of a bounded linear operators on a Banach spaces, as a generalization of regularized semigroups of operators.

Definition 2.1. [9, Definition 2.1]

Suppose that C is an injective bounded linear operator on a Banach space X . A commutative two parameter family $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called a regularized quasi-semigroups (or C -quasi-semigroups) if for every $t, s, r \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, we have

- (1) $K(t, 0) = C$;
- (2) $CK(t, s + r) = K(t + r, s)K(t, r)$;
- (3) $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous, that is,

$$\lim_{(t,s) \rightarrow (t_0,s_0)} \|K(t, s)x - K(t_0, s_0)x\| = 0, \quad x \in X;$$

- (4) there exists a continuous and increasing mapping $M : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ such that, for any $t, s > 0$, $\|K(t, s)\| \leq M(t + s)$.

For a C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X , let \mathcal{D} be the set of all $x \in X$ for which the following limits exist in the range of C :

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(0, s)x - Cx}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t, s)x - Cx}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t - s, s)x - Cx}{s}, \quad t > 0.$$

In this case, for $t \geq 0$, we define an operator $A(t)$ on \mathcal{D} as

$$A(t)x = C^{-1} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t, s)x - Cx}{s}.$$

The family $\{A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called the infinitesimal generator of the regularized quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$.

In particular, the C_0 -quasi-semigroups are the I -quasi-semigroups where I is the identity operator.

Example 2.1. [9, Examples 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5]

- (1) Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous exponentially bounded C -semigroup of operators on a Banach space X , with the generator A .
For $t, s \geq 0$, define $K(t, s) = S(s)$, then $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ is a C -quasi-semigroup with $\mathcal{D} = D(A)$ and its generator is $A(t) = A$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (2) Let $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on a Banach space X , with the generator A . If $C \in B(X)$ is injective and commutes with $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, then $K(t, s) = Ce^{T(s+t)-T(t)}$, for $t, s \geq 0$ is a C -quasi-semigroup with $\mathcal{D} = D(A)$ and its generator is $A(t) = AT(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (3) Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous exponentially bounded C -semigroup of operators on a Banach space X , with the generator A .
For $t, s \geq 0$, define $K(t, s) = T(g(t + s) - g(t))$. where $g(t) = \int_0^t a(u)du$ and $a \in C([0, +\infty[)$ with $a(t) > 0$. Then $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ is a C -quasi-semigroup with $\mathcal{D} = D(A)$ and its generator for all $t \geq 0$

$$A(t) = a(t)A.$$

Theorem 2.1. [9, Theorems 2.6] Let $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ be a C -quasi-semigroup on a Banach space X with generator $(A(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then we have

- (1) If $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \geq 0$ and $t_0, s_0 \geq 0$, then $K(t_0, s_0)x \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$K(t_0, s_0)A(t)x = A(t)K(t_0, s_0)x.$$

- (2) For each $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} K(t, s)Cx_0 = A(t + s)K(t, s)Cx_0 = K(t, s)A(t + s)Cx_0.$$

- (3) If $A(\cdot)$ is locally integrable, then for each $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $s \geq 0$,

$$K(t, s)x_0 = Cx_0 + \int_0^s A(t + h)K(t, h)x_0 dh, \quad t \geq 0$$

- (4) If $f : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow X$ is a continuous function, then for every $s \in [0, +\infty[$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^{s+r} K(t, h) f(h) dh = K(t, s) f(s).$$
- (5) Let $C' \in B(X)$ be injective and for any $t, s \geq 0$, $C' K(t, s) = K(t, s) C'$. Then $U(t, s) = C' K(t, s)$ is a CC' -quasi-semigroup with the generator $(A(t))_{t \geq 0}$.
- (6) Suppose that $\{R(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ be a C_0 -quasi-semigroup of operators on a Banach space X with the generator $(A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $C \in B(X)$ commutes with every $R(t, s)$, $t, s \geq 0$. Then $K(t, s) = CR(t, s)$ is a C -quasi-semigroup of operators on X with the generator $(A(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Inspired by the spectral studies of C_0 -semigroups in the works [7],[8], [11], [13] and [14] and the inclusion spectrum for C_0 -quasi-semigroups in papers [15], [16] and [17] and also the spectral mapping theorems for C -semigroups did by Song Xiaoqi in [18]. We show a spectral inclusion of different spectra for C -quasi-semigroups and their generators.

We start by the important result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A(t)$ be the generator of the C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ such that $A(t)$ is closed and densely defined, and let $C \in B(X)$ be injective. Then for all $t \geq s \geq 0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

- (1) For all $x \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$D_\lambda(t, s)(\lambda I - A(t))x = [e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]x.$$

- (2) For all $x \in X$, we have $D_\lambda(t, s)x \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$(\lambda I - A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x = [e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]x.$$

where $D_\lambda(t, s)x = \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} K(t-h, h)x dh$ is a bounded and linear operator.

Proof. (1) First we note that from 2) of the definition of a C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ with $r = 0$, we have $CK(t, s) = K(t, s)C$.

By Theorem 3.1 in [9] and theorem 2.1, $K(s, t)Cx_0$ is a unique solution of the problem $x'(t) = A(s+t)x(t)$, $t, s \geq 0$, $x(0) = C^2x_0$, moreover, for all $t > h > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial h} K(t-h, h)Cx = A(t)K(t-h, h)Cx = K(t-h, h)A(t)Cx$.

$$\text{So, } \frac{\partial}{\partial h} (CK(t-h, h))x = CA(t)K(t-h, h)x = CK(t-h, h)A(t)x.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda(t, s)[A(t)x] &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} K(t-h, h)[A(t)x] dh \\ &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} C^{-1}CK(t-h, h)[A(t)x] dh \\ &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} C^{-1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial h} (CK(t-h, h)) \right] x dh \\ &= \left[e^{\lambda(s-h)} C^{-1}CK(t-h, h)x \right]_0^s + \lambda \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} C^{-1}CK(t-h, h)x dh \\ &= \left[e^{\lambda(s-h)} K(t-h, h)x \right]_0^s + \lambda \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} K(t-h, h)x dh \\ &= K(t-s, s)x - e^{\lambda s} Cx + \lambda D_\lambda(t, s)x. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Finally, we obtain for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$

$$D_\lambda(t, s)(\lambda I - A(t))x = [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]x.$$

(2) Let $\mu \in \rho(A(t))$. From [12, Theorem 3.4] and the commutativity of $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$, we have for all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))K(t, s)x = K(t, s)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x$, such that the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, A(t)) = (\lambda I - A(t))^{-1}$. Hence, for all $x \in X$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x &= \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t)) \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)xdh \\ &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))K(t-h, h)xdh \\ &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))xdh \\ &= D_\lambda(t, s)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain for all $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda(t, s)x &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)xdh \\ &= \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)(\mu - A(t))\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))xdh \\ &= \mu \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))xdh - \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)A(t)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))xdh \\ &= \mu \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))K(t-h, h)xdh - \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)A(t)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))xdh \\ &= \mu R(\mu, A(t)) \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)xdh - \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)}K(t-h, h)[A(t)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x]dh \\ &= \mu \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x - D_\lambda(t, s)[A(t)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x] \end{aligned}$$

and according to (5) we obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda(t, s)x &= \mu \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x - \left[K(t-s, s)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x - e^{\lambda s}C\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda D_\lambda(t, s)\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x \right] \\ &= \mu \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x - \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))K(t-s, s)x + e^{\lambda s}C\mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))x \\ &\quad - \lambda \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x \\ &= \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t)) \left[\mu D_\lambda(t, s)x - K(t-s, s)x + e^{\lambda s}Cx - \lambda D_\lambda(t, s)x \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $x \in X$ we deduce $D_\lambda(t, s)x \in \mathcal{D}$ and we have

$$(\mu I - A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x = \mu D_\lambda(t, s)x - K(t-s, s)x + e^{\lambda s}Cx - \lambda D_\lambda(t, s)x.$$

Finally, if $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$, we obtain for all $x \in X$,

$$(\lambda I - A(t))D_\lambda(t, s)x = [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t-s, s)]x.$$

□

For $t \geq 0$, we fix $\mathcal{D}^0 = \mathcal{D}(A(t)^0) = X$, $A(t)^0 = I$, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define by recurrence:

$$\mathcal{D}^n = \mathcal{D}(A(t)^n) := \{x \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{n-1}) : A(t)^{n-1}x \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))\},$$

$$A(t)^n x = A(t)A(t)^{n-1}x \text{ pour } x \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^n),$$

We introduce :

$$X = D(A(t)^0) \supseteq D(A(t)) \supseteq D(A(t)^2) \supseteq \dots \supseteq D(A(t)^n).$$

Corollary 3.1. *Let $A(t)$ be the generator of the C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ such that $A(t)$ is closed and densely defined, and let $C \in B(X)$ be injective. Then for all $t \geq s \geq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we obtain*

(1) For all $x \in X$,

$$(\lambda I - A(t))^n [D_\lambda(t, s)]^n x = [e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]^n x.$$

(2) For all $x \in \mathcal{D}^n$,

$$[D_\lambda(t, s)]^n (\lambda I - [A(t)]^n) x = [e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]^n x.$$

(3) $N[\lambda I - A(t)] \subseteq N[e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]$.

(4) $Rg[e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)] \subseteq Rg[\lambda I - A(t)]$.

(5) $N[\lambda I - A(t)]^n \subseteq N[e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]^n$.

(6) $Rg[e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)]^n \subseteq Rg[\lambda I - A(t)]^n$.

(7) $Rg^\infty[e^{\lambda s} C - K(t - s, s)] \subseteq Rg^\infty[\lambda I - A(t)]$.

Proof. It's automatic by Theorem 3.1. □

The following theorem characterizes the ordinary, point, approximate point, essential and residual spectra of a C -quasi-semigroup.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A(t)$ be the generator of the C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ such that $A(t)$ is closed and densely defined, and let $C \in B(X)$ be injective. Then for all $t \geq s \geq 0$, we get*

(1) $e^{\sigma(A(t))s} \subset \sigma(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$

(2) $e^{\sigma_p(A(t))s} \subset \sigma_p(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$

(3) $e^{\sigma_{ap}(A(t))s} \subset \sigma_{ap}(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$

(4) $e^{\sigma_e(A(t))s} \subset \sigma_e(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$

(5) $e^{\sigma_r(A(t))s} \subset \sigma_r(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. it's immediately by the Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 □

Remark 3.1. *Note that the inclusion $\{e^{\lambda s}, \lambda \in \sigma_*(A(t))\} \subset \sigma_*(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$, where $\sigma_* = \sigma, \sigma_{ap}, \sigma_e$ is strict as shown in the following example.*

Example 3.1. *Let $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0} = T(s)$ where $\{T(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ is the translation group on the space $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ of all 2π periodic continuous functions on \mathbb{R} and denote its generator by A (see [8, Paragraph I.4.15]). From [8, Examples 2.6.iv] we have, $\sigma(A(t)) = \sigma(A) = i\mathbb{Z}$, then $e^{\sigma(A(t))s}$ is at most countable, therefore $e^{\sigma_*(A(t))s}$ are also.*

The spectra of the operators $T(s)$ are always contained in $\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and contain the eigenvalues e^{iks} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\sigma(T(s))$ is closed, it follows from [8, Theorem IV.3.16] that $\sigma(T(s)) = \Gamma$ whenever $s/2\pi \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then $\sigma(T(s))$ is not countable, so $\sigma_*(I, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$ are also.

To obtain the results concerning the ascent and descent spectra we need the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $A(t)$ be the generator of the C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ such that $A(t)$ is closed and densely defined, and let $C \in B(X)$ be injective. Then for all $t \geq s > 0$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

(1) $(\lambda I - A(t))L_\lambda(t, s) + \varphi_\lambda(s)D_\lambda(t, s) = C$, where $L_\lambda(t, s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} D_\lambda(t, h) dh$ and $\varphi_\lambda(s) = \frac{1}{s} e^{-\lambda s}$.

Moreover, the operators $L_\lambda(t, s)$, $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $(\lambda I - A(t))$ are mutually commuting. Also, C is commute with each one $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $L_\lambda(t, s)$

(2) For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists an operator $F_{\lambda,n}(t, s) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, such that $(\lambda I - A(t))^n [L_\lambda(t, s)]^n + F_{\lambda,n}(t, s) D_\lambda(t, s) = C^n$.

Moreover, the operator $F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)$ is commute with each one of $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $L_\lambda(t, s)$.

(3) For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists an operator $B_{\lambda,n}(t, s) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, such that

$$(\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda,n}(t, s) + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [D_\lambda(t, s)]^n = C^{n^2}.$$

Moreover, the operator $B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)$ is commute with each one of $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)$.

Proof. (1) Let $\mu \in \rho(A(t))$, by Theorem 3.1, for all $x \in X$ we have $D_\lambda(t, h)x \in \mathcal{D}$ and hence, for all $t, s > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} sL_\lambda(t, s)x &= \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} D_\lambda(t, h)x dh \\ &= \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))(\mu - A(t))D_\lambda(t, h)x dh, \\ &= \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))[\mu \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} D_\lambda(t, h)x dh - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)x dh] \\ &= \mathcal{R}(\mu, A(t))[\mu sL_\lambda(t, s)x - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)x dh] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for all $x \in X$, we have $L_\lambda(t, s)x \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$s(\mu - A(t))L_\lambda(t, s)x = \mu sL_\lambda(t, s)x - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)x dh.$$

Thus

$$A(t)(sL_\lambda(t, s)x) = \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)x dh.$$

Hence, from Theorem 3.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - A(t))(sL_\lambda(t, s)x) &= \lambda sL_\lambda(t, s)x - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)x dh \\ &= \lambda sL_\lambda(t, s)x - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} [\lambda D_\lambda(t, h)x - e^{\lambda h} Cx + K(t - h, h)x] dh \\ &= \lambda sL_\lambda(t, s)x - \lambda \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} D_\lambda(t, h)x dh + \int_0^s Cx dh - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} K(t - h, h)x dh \\ &= \lambda sL_\lambda(t, s)x - \lambda sL_\lambda(t, s)x + sCx - e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^s e^{\lambda(s-h)} K(t - h, h)x dh \\ &= sCx - e^{-\lambda s} D_\lambda(t, s)x \\ &= [sC - s\varphi_\lambda(s)D_\lambda(t, s)]x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $(\lambda I - A(t))L_\lambda(t, s) + \varphi_\lambda(s)D_\lambda(t, s) = C$.

On the other hand and since the family $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ is commutative, then for all $t > s > h > 0$, we have $D_\lambda(t, h)K(t - s, s) = K(t - s, s)D_\lambda(t, h)$.

Hence, then for all $s, r, t \geq h > 0$, we have $D_\lambda(t, s)D_\lambda(t, r) = D_\lambda(t, r)D_\lambda(t, s)$.

Thus, we deduce that

$$D_\lambda(t, s)L_\lambda(t, s) = L_\lambda(t, s)D_\lambda(t, s).$$

Since for all $x \in X$, $A(t)L_\lambda(t, s)x = \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)xdh$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)x = D_\lambda(t, h)A(t)x$, then we obtain for all $x \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - A(t))L_\lambda(t, s)x &= \lambda L_\lambda(t, s)x - A(t)L_\lambda(t, s)x \\ &= \lambda L_\lambda(t, s)x - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} A(t)D_\lambda(t, h)xdh \\ &= \lambda L_\lambda(t, s)x - \int_0^s e^{-\lambda h} D_\lambda(t, h)A(t)xdh \\ &= \lambda L_\lambda(t, s)x - L_\lambda(t, s)A(t)x \\ &= L_\lambda(t, s)(\lambda I - A(t))x. \end{aligned}$$

From 2) of the definition of a C-quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t, s \geq 0}$ with $r = 0$, we have $CK(t - h, h) = K(t - h, h)C$ for all $t > h > 0$.

Therefore, $CD_\lambda(t, s) = D_\lambda(t, s)C$ and $CL_\lambda(t, s) = L_\lambda(t, s)C$.

(2) For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [(\lambda I - A(t))L_\lambda(t, s)]^n &= [C - \varphi_\lambda(s)D_\lambda(t, s)]^n \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n C_n^i C^{n-i} [-\varphi_\lambda(s)D_\lambda(t, s)]^i \\ &= C^n + \sum_{i=1}^n C_n^i C^{n-i} [-\varphi_\lambda(s)D_\lambda(t, s)]^i \\ &= C^n - D_\lambda(t, s) \sum_{i=1}^n C_n^i C^{n-i} [\varphi_\lambda(s)]^i [-D_\lambda(t, s)]^{i-1} \\ &= C^n - D_\lambda(t, s)F_{\lambda, n}(t, s), \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$F_{\lambda, n}(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_n^i C^{n-i} [\varphi_\lambda(s)]^i [-D_\lambda(t, s)]^{i-1}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(\lambda I - A(t))^n [L_\lambda(t, s)]^n + D_\lambda(t, s)F_{\lambda, n}(t, s) = C^n.$$

Finally, for commutativity, it is clear that $F_{\lambda, n}(t, s)$ commute with each one of $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $L_\lambda(t, s)$ since the operators $L_\lambda(t, s)$, $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $(\lambda I - A(t))$ are mutually commuting and C is commute with each one $D_\lambda(t, s)$ and $L_\lambda(t, s)$ from (1).

(3) Since we have $D_\lambda(t, s)F_{\lambda, n}(t, s) = C^n - (\lambda I - A(t))^n [L_\lambda(t, s)]^n$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\lambda(t, s)F_{\lambda, n}(t, s)]^n &= [C^n - (\lambda I - A(t))^n [L_\lambda(t, s)]^n]^n \\ &= C^{n^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n C_n^i [C^n]^{n-i} [(\lambda I - A(t))^n [L_\lambda(t, s)]^n]^i \\ &= C^{n^2} - (\lambda I - A(t))^n \sum_{i=1}^n C_n^i [C^{n(n-i)} (\lambda I - A(t))^{n(i-1)} [L_\lambda(t, s)]^{ni}] \\ &= C^{n^2} - (\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda, n}(t, s), \end{aligned}$$

Where $B_{\lambda,n}(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_n^i C^{n(n-i)} (\lambda I - A(t))^{n(i-1)} [L_\lambda(t, s)]^{ni}$. Hence, we obtain

$$[D_\lambda(t, s)]^n [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n + (\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda,n}(t, s) = C^{n^2}.$$

Finally, the commutativity is clear. □

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A(t)$ be a closed and densely defined generator of a C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X . For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t \geq s > 0$, we have*

- (1) *If $d(e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)) = n$, then $d(\lambda I - A(t)) \leq n$.*
- (2) *If $a(e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)) = n$, then $a(\lambda I - A(t)) \leq n$.*

Proof.

- (1) Let $y \in Rg[\lambda I - A(t)]^n$, then there exists $x \in \mathcal{D}^n$ (domain of $A(t)^n$) satisfying,

$$(\lambda I - A(t))^n x = y.$$

Since $d[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)] = n$, then

$$Rg[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n = Rg[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^{n+1}.$$

Hence, there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n x = [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^{n+1} z, \tag{6}$$

Let $u \in X$ such as, $C^{m^2}u = x$, thus $y = (\lambda I - A(t))^n C^{m^2}u$.

On the other hand, by (3) in Theorem 3.3, we have,

$$(\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [D_\lambda(t, s)]^n u = C^{m^2}u, \tag{7}$$

Thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (\lambda I - A(t))^n [(\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda,n}(t, s) + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [D_\lambda(t, s)]^n] u \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^n (\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n (\lambda I - A(t))^n [D_\lambda(t, s)]^n u \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{2n} B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n u, \text{ (by Theorem 3.1)} \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{2n} B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n C^{-n^2} x \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{2n} B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n C^{-n^2} [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n x \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{2n} K_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [D_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n C^{-n^2} [[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^{n+1} z], \text{ by (6)} \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{2n} K_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [D_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^{n+1} C^{-n^2} z] \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{2n} K_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [D_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [(\lambda I - A(t))^{n+1} [D_\lambda(t, s)]^{n+1} C^{-n^2} z] \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{n+1} [(\lambda I - A(t))^{n-1} K_{\lambda,n}(t, s)u + [D_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [D_\lambda(t, s)]^{n+1} C^{-n^2} z]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $y \in Rg[\lambda I - A(t)]^{n+1}$ and hence,

$$Rg[\lambda I - A(t)]^n = Rg[\lambda I - A(t)]^{n+1}.$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$d(\lambda I - A(t)) \leq n.$$

- (2) Let $x \in N(\lambda I - A(t))^{n+1}$ and we suppose that $a[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)] = n$, then we obtain

$$N[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n = N[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^{n+1}.$$

From corollary 3.1, we have

$$N(\lambda I - A(t))^{n+1} \subseteq N[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^{n+1},$$

hence

$$x \in N[e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^{n^2}(\lambda I - A(t))^n x &= [(\lambda I - A(t))^n B_{\lambda,n}(t, s) + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [D_{\lambda}(t, s)]^n](\lambda I - A(t))^n x \\ &= (\lambda I - A(t))^{n-1} B_{\lambda,n}(t, s)(\lambda I - A(t))^{n+1} x + [F_{\lambda,n}(t, s)]^n [e^{\lambda s}C - K(t - s, s)]^n x \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $x \in N(\lambda I - A(t))^n$ and hence, $a(\lambda I - A(t)) \leq n$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $A(t)$ be a closed and densely defined generator of a C -quasi-semigroup $\{K(t, s)\}_{t,s \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X . For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $t, s > 0$, we have*

- (1) $e^{\sigma_a(A(t))s} \subseteq \sigma_a(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$.
- (2) $e^{\sigma_d(A(t))s} \subseteq \sigma_d(C, K(t - s, s)) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Immediately comes from Proposition 3.1. □

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proved some results concerning the C -quasi-semigroups and we have showed a spectral inclusion of a different spectra of a regularized quasi-semigroups of a bounded linear operators on a Banach space and their infinitesimal generators, and we will end this article with the open question concerning the equality of a different spectra of this family of operators and its infinitesimal generator.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the referees for their very helpful suggestions and many kind comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aiena, P., (2004), Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory with Applications to Multipliers, Kluwer. Acad. Press.
- [2] Barcnas, D. and Leiva, H., (1991), Quasisemigroups, Evolutions Equation and Controllability, Notas de Matematicas no. 109.
- [3] Barcnas, D. and Leiva, H., (2005), Quasisemigroups and evolution equations, International Journal of Evolution Equations, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 161-177.
- [4] Barcnas, D., Leiva, and Moya, H., (2006), The Dual Quasi -Semigroup and Controllability of Evolution Equations, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 320, no. 2, pp. 691-702.
- [5] deLaubenfels, R., (1993), C -semigroups and the Cauchy problem, Journal of Functional Analysis, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 44-61.
- [6] Derndinger, R., and Nagel, R., (1979), Der Generator stark stetiger Verbandshalb gruppen auf $C(X)$ und dessen Spektrum, Math. Ann, 245, pp. 159-174.
- [7] Elkoutri, A. and Taoudi, M. A., (2003), Spectral Inclusions and stability results for strongly continuous semigroups, Int. J. of Math. and Mathematical Sciences, 37, pp. 2379-2387.
- [8] Engel, K. J. and Nagel, R., (2000), One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [9] Janfada, M., (2010), On Regularized Quasi-Semigroups and Evolution Equations, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2010, Article ID: 785428.
- [10] Kato, T., (1953), Integration of the Evolution Equation in a Banach Space, J. Murh. Soc. Japan 5, pp. 208-234.
- [11] Pazy, A., (1983) Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York.

- [12] Sutrima, Rini Indrati, Ch., Lina Aryati, and Mardiyana, (2017), The fundamental properties of quasi-semigroups, *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series*, 855: 012052.
- [13] Tajmouati, A. and Boua, H., (2015), Spectral Inclusions for C_0 -Semigroups, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol.9, no.40, pp. 1971-1980.
- [14] Tajmouati, A., Amouch, M. and Zakariya M. R. F. Alhomidi, (2016), Spectral equality for for C_0 -Semigroups, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II* , Ser, 65, pp. 425-434.
- [15] Tajmouati, A., Zahouan, Y. and Ould Mohamed Baba, M.A., (2019), Spectral inclusions between C_0 -quasi-semigroups and their generators, *Boletim Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, Doi:10.5269/bspm.45988, in press.
- [16] Tajmouati, A., Zahouan, Y. and Ould Mohamed Baba, M.A., (2020), Semi-Fredholm and Semi-Browder Spectra For C_0 -quasi-semigroups, *Boletim Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica*, Doi:10.5269/bspm.50921, in press.
- [17] Tajmouati, A., Karmouni, M. and Zahouan, Y., (2020), Quasi-Fredholm and Saphar spectra for C_0 -quasi-semigroups, *Advances in Operator Theory*, doi.org/10.1007/s43036-020-00040-2.
- [18] Xiaoqiu, S., (1996), Spectral Mapping Theorems for C -semigroups, *Journal of Mathematics Research and Exposition*, Vol.16, No 4, pp. 530-536.



Abdelaziz Tajmouati has been a professor at Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah University (Morocco) since 1982 and a member of the Mathematical Sciences and Applications Laboratory. His area of research includes Functional Analysis and the Spectral Theory of Operators.



Youness Zahouan is a research scholar in the Laboratory of Analysis, Geometry and Applications in the Department of Mathematics, Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Morocco. He is working as an assistant professor in Mathematics at Higher School of Education and formation, Kenitra, Morocco. His area of interest includes operator theory and spectral theory.